

Constant term evaluation for summation of C -finite sequences

Qing-Hu Hou¹ and Guoce Xin²

¹Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, PR China

²Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100084, PR China

¹hou@nankai.edu.cn, ²guoce.xin@gmail.com

Abstract. Based on constant term evaluation, we present a new method to compute a closed form of the summation $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j)$, where $\{F_j(k)\}$ are C -finite sequences and a_j and $a_j + b_j$ are nonnegative integers. Our algorithm is much faster than that of Greene and Wilf.

Résumé. En s'appuyant sur l'évaluation de termes constants, nous présentons une nouvelle méthode pour calculer une forme close de la somme $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j)$, où les $\{F_j(k)\}$ sont des suites C -finies, et où les a_j et les $a_j + b_j$ sont des entiers positifs ou nuls. Notre algorithme est beaucoup plus rapide que celui de Greene et Wilf.

Keywords: C -finite sequences, constant term, summation, closed form

1 Introduction

A sequence $\{F(k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ is C -finite (see [Zei90]) if there exist constants c_1, \dots, c_d such that

$$F(k) = c_1 F(k-1) + c_2 F(k-2) + \dots + c_d F(k-d), \quad \forall k \geq d.$$

Correspondingly, the integer d is called the order of the recurrence. Greene and Wilf [GW07] provided a method to compute a closed form of the summation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j),$$

where $\{F_j(k)\}$ are C -finite sequences and a_j, b_j are integers satisfying $a_j \geq 0$ and $a_j + b_j \geq 0$. They proved that the sum must be a linear combination of the terms

$$\prod_{j=1}^r F_j((a_j + b_j)n + i_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(n) \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + i_j), \quad (0 \leq i_j < d_j)$$

where d_j is the order of the recurrence of $\{F_j(k)\}$ and $\phi_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(n)$ is a polynomial in n with given degree bound. Then the explicit formula of the sum can be computed by the method of undetermined coefficients.

In this paper, we provide another approach which is based on MacMahon's partition analysis [Mac16] and the Omega calculations [APR01, Xin04]. We first introduce an extra variable z and consider the summation

$$S(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j).$$

Then we rewrite $S(z)$ as the constant term (with respect to x_1, \dots, x_r) of the Laurent series

$$f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)\cdots f_r(x_r) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k \prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{-a_j n - b_j k - c_j},$$

where

$$f_j(x_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_j(k)x_j^k$$

is the generating function. Using partial fraction decomposition, we can derive an explicit formula for $S(z)$ in terms of $\prod_{j=1}^r F_j((a_j + b_j)n + i_j)$ and $\prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + i_j)$, where $0 \leq i_j < d_j$. Finally, the substitution of $z = 1$ leads to a closed form of the original summation.

2 Basic tools by partial fraction decomposition

Let K be a field. Fix a polynomial $D(x) \in K[x]$. For any polynomial $P(x) \in K[x]$, we use $\text{rem}(P(x), D(x), x)$ (or $\text{rem}(P(x), D(x))$ for short) to denote the remainder of $P(x)$ when divided by $D(x)$. This notation is extended for rational function $R(x) = P(x)/Q(x)$ when $Q(x)$ is coprime to $D(x)$:

$$\text{rem}(R(x), D(x)) := \text{rem}(P(x)\beta(x), D(x)), \quad \text{if } \alpha(x)D(x) + \beta(x)Q(x) = 1. \quad (1)$$

In algebraic language, the remainder is the standard representative in the quotient ring $K[x]/\langle D(x) \rangle$.

It is convenient for us to use the following notation:

$$\left\{ \frac{P(x)/Q(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = \frac{\text{rem}(P(x)/Q(x), D(x))}{D(x)}. \quad (2)$$

Equivalently, if we have the following partial fraction decomposition:

$$\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)D(x)} = p(x) + \frac{r_1(x)}{D(x)} + \frac{r_2(x)}{Q(x)},$$

where $p(x), r_1(x), r_2(x)$ are polynomials with $\deg r_1(x) < \deg D(x)$, then we claim that $r_1(x) = \text{rem}(P(x)/Q(x), D(x))$ and hence

$$\left\{ \frac{P(x)/Q(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = \frac{r_1(x)}{D(x)}.$$

Note that we do not need $\deg r_2(x) < \deg Q(x)$.

The following properties are transparent:

$$P_1(x) \equiv P_2(x) \pmod{D(x)} \Rightarrow \left\{ \frac{R(x)P_1(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{R(x)P_2(x)}{D(x)} \right\}; \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha(x)D(x) + \beta(x)Q(x) = 1 \Rightarrow \left\{ \frac{P(x)/Q(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{P(x)\beta(x)}{D(x)} \right\}; \tag{4}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{aR_1(x) + bR_2(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = a \left\{ \frac{R_1(x)}{D(x)} \right\} + b \left\{ \frac{R_2(x)}{D(x)} \right\}, \quad \forall a, b \in K. \tag{5}$$

The crucial lemma in our calculation is as follows.

Lemma 1 *Let $R(x), D(x)$ be as above and assume $D(0) \neq 0$. Then for any Laurent polynomial $L(x)$ with $\deg L(x) \leq 0$, we have*

$$\text{CT}_x L(x) \left\{ \frac{R(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{L(x)R(x)}{D(x)} \right\}, \tag{6}$$

where $\text{CT}_x g(x)$ means to take constant term of the Laurent series $g(x)$ in x .

Proof: By linearity, we may assume $L(x) = x^{-k}$ for some $k \geq 0$.

Assume $r(x) = \text{rem}(R(x), D(x))$. Since $D(0) \neq 0$, we have the following partial fraction decomposition:

$$\frac{r(x)}{x^k D(x)} = \frac{p(x)}{x^k} + \frac{r_1(x)}{D(x)},$$

where $\deg p(x) < k$ and $\deg r_1(x) < \deg D(x)$. Then taking constant term in x gives

$$\text{CT}_x \frac{r(x)}{x^k D(x)} = \text{CT}_x \frac{r_1(x)}{D(x)} = \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-k} r(x)}{D(x)} \right\} = \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-k} R(x)}{D(x)} \right\}.$$

This is just (6) when $L(x) = x^{-k}$. □

Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} denote the set of integers and nonnegative integers respectively. Suppose that $\{F(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a C -finite sequence such that

$$F(k) = c_1 F(k-1) + c_2 F(k-2) + \dots + c_d F(k-d) \tag{7}$$

holds for any integer $k \geq d$. Then its generating function is of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F(k)x^k = \frac{p(x)}{1 - c_1 x - c_2 x^2 - \dots - c_d x^d},$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree less than d . We will say that $\{F(k)\}_{k \geq \mathbb{N}}$ is a C -finite sequence with generating function $p(x)/q(x)$, where $q(x) = 1 - c_1 x - c_2 x^2 - \dots - c_d x^d$.

It is well-known [Sta86, Section 4.2] that we can uniquely extend the domain of $F(k)$ to $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by requiring that (7) holds for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The k -th term of the extended sequence can be given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2 Let $\{F(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a C -finite sequence with generating function $p(x)/q(x)$ and $\{F(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be its extension. Then

$$F(k) = \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-k}p(x)}{q(x)} \right\} = \left. \left\{ \frac{x^{-k}p(x)}{q(x)} \right\} \right|_{x=0} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (8)$$

Proof: Since $q(0) = 1$, the second equality holds trivially. Let

$$G(k) = \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-k}p(x)}{q(x)} \right\}.$$

Then for $k \geq 0$, applying Lemma 1 gives

$$G(k) = \text{CT}_x x^{-k} \left\{ \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right\} = \text{CT}_x x^{-k} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = [x^k]f(x) = F(k),$$

where $[x^k]f(x)$ means to take the coefficient of x^k in $f(x)$.

Therefore, by the uniqueness of the extension, it suffices to show that $G(k)$ also satisfy the recursion (7) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & G(k) - c_1 G(k-1) - \dots - c_d G(k-d) \\ &= \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-k}p(x)}{q(x)} \right\} - c_1 \left\{ \frac{x^{-k+1}p(x)}{q(x)} \right\} - \dots - c_d \left\{ \frac{x^{-k+d}p(x)}{q(x)} \right\} \\ &= \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-k}p(x)q(x)}{q(x)} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

3 Constant term evaluation

Let $\{F_j(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be C -finite sequences with generating functions $f_j(x) = p_j(x)/q_j(x)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. We denote the degree of the denominators by $d_j = \deg q_j(x)$. To evaluate the sum

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j),$$

we evaluate the more general sum $S_r(z)$ instead, where $S_m(z)$ is defined by

$$S_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k \prod_{j=1}^m F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j), \quad 0 \leq m \leq r. \quad (9)$$

The advantage is that $S_m(z)$ can be evaluated recursively. Since $a_j \geq 0$ and $a_j + b_j \geq 0$, we have $a_j n + b_j k \geq 0$ for any $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k < n$. By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j) \operatorname{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{x^{-a_m n - b_m k - c_m} p_m(x)}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j) \operatorname{CT}_x x^{-a_m n} x^{-b_m k} \left\{ \frac{x^{-c_m} p_m(x)}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{CT}_x x^{-a_m n} \left\{ \frac{x^{-c_m} p_m(x)}{q_m(x)} \right\} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (zx^{-b_m})^k \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the recursion

$$S_m(z) = \operatorname{CT}_x x^{-a_m n} \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-c_m}}{q_m(x)} \right\} S_{m-1}(zx^{-b_m}). \tag{10}$$

The initial condition is $S_0(z) = 1 + z + \dots + z^{n-1} = \frac{1-z^n}{1-z}$.

Let L_m and L'_m be the linear operators acting on Laurent polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_m by

$$\begin{aligned} L_m \left(\prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{\alpha_j} \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m F_j(a_j n - \alpha_j), \\ L'_m \left(\prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{\alpha_j} \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m F_j((a_j + b_j)n - \alpha_j). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then $S_m(z)$ have simple rational function representations.

Theorem 3 For any $0 \leq m \leq r$, there exist a polynomial $P_m(z)$ with coefficients being Laurent polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_m and a non-zero polynomial $Q_m(z) \in K[z]$ such that

$$S_m(z) = \frac{L_m(P_m(z)) - z^n L'_m(P_m(z))}{Q_m(z)}, \tag{12}$$

where L_m, L'_m are defined by (11).

Proof: We prove the theorem by induction on m .

Setting $P_0(z) = 1$ and $Q_0(z) = 1 - z$, we see that the assertion holds for $m = 0$. Suppose that the assertion holds for $m - 1$. We can compute $P_m(z)$ and $Q_m(z)$ as follows. For brevity, we write $R(z) = P_{m-1}(z)/Q_{m-1}(z)$.

By definition $S_{m-1}(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree less than n . If $b_m \geq 0$, then $-a_m n \leq 0$; If $b_m \leq 0$, then $-a_m n - b_m(n - 1) \leq 0$. Thus $x^{-a_m n} S_{m-1}(zx^{-b_m})$ is always a Laurant polynomial of

degree no more than 0. Therefore, by Lemma 1 and the recursion (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(z) &= \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-c_m}}{q_m(x)} \right\} x^{-a_m n} S_{m-1}(zx^{-b_m}) \\ &= \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-c_m} x^{-a_m n} S_{m-1}(zx^{-b_m})}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= \text{CT}_x \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-c_m} x^{-a_m n} (L_{m-1}(R(zx^{-b_m})) - z^n x^{-b_m n} L'_{m-1}(R(zx^{-b_m})))}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= L_{m-1} \text{CT}_x x^{-a_m n} G(x, z) - z^n L'_{m-1} \text{CT}_x x^{-(a_m+b_m)n} G(x, z), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(x, z)$ is given by

$$G(x, z) = \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-c_m} R(zx^{-b_m})}{q_m(x)} \right\}.$$

Now set

$$\frac{u(x, z)}{w(z)} = \text{rem}(x^{d_m-1-c_m} R(zx^{-b_m}), q_m(x), x), \quad (13)$$

where $u(x, z)$ is a polynomial in x, z and $w(z)$ is a polynomial in z . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, z) &= \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-d_m+1} \cdot x^{d_m-1-c_m} R(zx^{-b_m})}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)x^{-d_m+1} u(x, z)/w(z)}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{w(z)} \left\{ \frac{p_m(x) \cdot x^{-d_m+1} u(x, z)}{q_m(x)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x^{-d_m+1}u(x, z)$ is a Laurent polynomial of degree in x less than or equal to 0, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(z) &= L_{m-1} \text{CT}_x \frac{x^{-d_m+1} u(x, z)}{x^{a_m n}} \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)}{q_m(x)} \right\} - z^n L'_{m-1} \text{CT}_x \frac{x^{-d_m+1} u(x, z)}{x^{(a_m+b_m)n}} \left\{ \frac{p_m(x)}{q_m(x)} \right\} \\ &= L_{m-1} \text{CT}_x \frac{x^{-d_m+1} u(x, z)}{x^{a_m n}} f_m(x) - z^n L'_{m-1} \text{CT}_x \frac{x^{-d_m+1} u(x, z)}{x^{(a_m+b_m)n}} f_m(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$P_m(z) = x_m^{-d_m+1} u(x_m, z), \quad Q_m(z) = w(z). \quad (14)$$

It is then easy to check that $S_m(z)$ has the desired form. This completes the induction. \square

Remark 1. Form the above proof we see that the degree of x_m in $P_m(z)$ is between $-d_m + 1$ and 0. Therefore the coefficients of the numerator of $S(z)$ are linear combinations of the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + i_j), \quad \prod_{j=1}^r F_j((a_j + b_j)n + i_j),$$

where $0 \leq i_j \leq d_j - 1$.

Remark 2. Let $\{F(k)\}$ be a sequence with generating function $p(x)/q(x)$. We call the sequence $\{\bar{F}(k)\}$ with generating function $1/q(x)$ its *primitive* sequence. It is more convenient to represent $S(z)$ in terms of the *primitive* sequences $\{\bar{F}_j(k)\}$ instead of the sequences $\{F_j(k)\}$ themselves. The existence of a such representation is obvious since $F_j(k)$ is a linear combination of $\bar{F}_j(k)$. In this way, the coefficients of the numerator of $S(z)$ will be linear combinations of the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^r \bar{F}_j(a_j n - i_j), \quad \prod_{j=1}^r \bar{F}_j((a_j + b_j)n - i_j),$$

where $0 \leq i_j \leq d_j - 1$. Then we can take advantage of the fact $\bar{F}_j(-i_j) = 0$, $1 \leq i_j \leq d_j - 1$ if $a_j = 0$ or $a_j + b_j = 0$. The computation is similar and in a natural way. In fact, if we define

$$\frac{P_m(z)}{Q_m(z)} = \text{rem} \left(p_m(x)x^{-c_m} \frac{P_{m-1}(zx^{-b_m})}{Q_{m-1}(zx^{-b_m})}, q_m(x), x \right),$$

then we have

$$S_m(z) = \frac{\bar{L}_m(P_m(z)) - z^n \bar{L}'_m(P_m(z))}{Q_m(z)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_m \left(\prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{\alpha_j} \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m \bar{F}_j(a_j n - \alpha_j), \\ \bar{L}'_m \left(\prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{\alpha_j} \right) &= \prod_{j=1}^m \bar{F}_j((a_j + b_j)n - \alpha_j). \end{aligned}$$

4 Evaluation of $S_r(z)$ at $z = 1$

In this section, we consider the evaluation of $S_r(z)$ at $z = 1$, which is equals to the sum

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j). \tag{15}$$

The evaluation of $S_r(z)$ at $z = 1$ can be obtained by the following lemma.

Lemma 4 Let $f(z) = \sum_i f_i z^i$, $g(z) = \sum_i g_i z^i$ and $h(z) = \sum_i h_i z^i$ be polynomials in z . Suppose that

$$S(z) = \frac{f(z) - z^n g(z)}{h(z)}$$

is a polynomial in z and

$$h(z) = \sum_{i \geq e} \tilde{h}_i (z - 1)^i, \quad \tilde{h}_e \neq 0. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$S(1) = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_e} \sum_i \left(f_i \binom{i}{e} - g_i \binom{n+i}{e} \right).$$

Proof: By expanding $f(z) - z^n g(z)$ at the point $z = 1$, we obtain

$$f(z) - z^n g(z) = \sum_i f_i(z-1+1)^i - g_i(z-1+1)^{n+i} = \sum_j (z-1)^j A_j,$$

where

$$A_j = \sum_i \left(f_i \binom{i}{j} - g_i \binom{n+i}{j} \right).$$

Since $S(z)$ is a polynomial in z and $\tilde{h}_e \neq 0$, we have $A_j = 0$ for any $j < e$ and $S(1) = A_e/\tilde{h}_e$, as desired. \square

Remark. Alternatively, we can write

$$S(z) = z^n \frac{z^{-n} f(z) - g(z)}{h(z)}.$$

A similar argument yields

$$S(1) = \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_e} \sum_i \left(f_i \binom{i-n}{e} - g_i \binom{i}{e} \right),$$

The algorithm CFsum for finding a closed form of the sum (15).

Input: The generating functions $p_j(x)/q_j(x)$ of $F_j(k)$ and the parameters (a_j, b_j, c_j)

Output: A closed formula for $S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^r F_j(a_j n + b_j k + c_j)$.

1. Initially set $P(z) = 1$ and $Q(z) = 1 - z$.

2. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ do

Set $R(z) = P(z)/Q(z)$.

Let

$$\frac{u(x, z)}{w(z)} = \text{rem}(p_j(x)x^{-c_j} R(zx^{-b_j}), q_j(x), x).$$

Set $P(z) = u(x_j, z)$ and $Q(z) = w(z)$.

3. Set $A = B = P$.

4. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ do

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{d_j-1} \overline{F}_j(a_j n + d_j - i)[x_j^i] A, \quad B = \sum_{i=0}^{d_j-1} \overline{F}_j((a_j + b_j)n + d_j - i)[x_j^i] B,$$

where $[x^i]f(x)$ denotes the coefficient of x^i in $f(x)$ and $\{\overline{F}_j(k)\}$ is the primitive sequence corresponding to $\{F_j(k)\}$.

5. Let e be the lowest degree of z in $Q(z+1)$ and $h = [z^e]Q(z+1)$.

6. Finally, return

$$S = \frac{1}{h} \sum_i \left(\binom{i}{e} [z^i]A - \binom{n+i}{e} [z^i]B \right).$$

Our algorithm suggested a new way to look at the degree bound for the coefficients $\phi_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(n)$. One bound is just the multiplicity of 1 as a root of $Q_r(z)$. To study the e described in (16), it is better to use the alternative representation of $S(z) = S_r(z)$:

$$S(z) = \text{CT}_{x_1, \dots, x_r} \left(\frac{1}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r}} \right)^n \frac{1 - \left(\frac{z}{x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_r^{b_r}}\right)^n}{1 - \frac{z}{x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_r^{b_r}}} \prod_{j=1}^r \left\{ \frac{p_j(x_j)x_j^{-c_j}}{q_j(x_j)} \right\}.$$

Suppose that α_j is a root of $q_j(x)$ with multiplicity $\nu_j(\alpha_j)$. By partial fraction decomposition, $S(z)$ can be written as a linear combination of the terms

$$S(z; \alpha, \mathbf{s}) = \text{CT}_{x_1, \dots, x_r} \left(\frac{1}{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r}} \right)^n \frac{1 - \left(\frac{z}{x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_r^{b_r}}\right)^n}{1 - \frac{z}{x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_r^{b_r}}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 - x_j/\alpha_j)^{s_j}},$$

where $s_j \leq \nu_j(\alpha_j)$. From the discussion on Omega operator [Xin04], we see that the denominator of $S(z; \alpha, \mathbf{s})$ is given by

$$\left(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha_1^{b_1} \cdots \alpha_r^{b_r}} \right)^{s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_r - r + 1}$$

Therefore, by summing over all α, \mathbf{s} and take common denominator, we see that

$$e \leq \max\{\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_r - r + 1 : \alpha_1^{b_1} \cdots \alpha_r^{b_r} = 1 \text{ and } q_j(\alpha_j) = 0\}.$$

5 Examples

We have implement the algorithm *CFSum* in Maple, which can be download from <http://www.combinatorics.net.cn/homepage/xin/maple/CFsum.txt>.

Example 1. Let

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(k)^2 F(2n - k),$$

where $\{F(k)\}$ is the Fibonacci sequence defined by

$$F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1, F(k) = F(k - 1) + F(k - 2), \forall k \geq 2.$$

We see that the generating function for $\{F(k)\}$ is $x/(1 - x - x^2)$. Using the package, we immediately derive that

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{2}(-\overline{F}(2n) + \overline{F}(2n - 1) + \overline{F}(n)^3 + \overline{F}(n)\overline{F}(n - 1)^2 - \overline{F}(n - 1)\overline{F}(n)^2),$$

where $\{\overline{F}(k)\}$ is the primitive sequence of $\{F(k)\}$. In fact, $\overline{F}(k) = F(k+1)$ and hence

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{2}(-F(2n+1) + F(2n) + F(n+1)^3 + F(n+1)F(n)^2 - F(n)F(n+1)^2).$$

Example 2. Let

$$S(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(k)^4 z^k,$$

where $\{F(k)\}$ is the Fibonacci sequence defined as in Example 1. Using the package, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^4 f_i(z) z^n \overline{F}(n-1)^i \overline{F}(n)^{4-i} - z(z+1)(z^2 - 5z + 1)}{(z-1)(z^2 + 3z + 1)(z^2 - 7z + 1)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^4 f_i(z) z^n F(n)^i F(n+1)^{4-i} - z(z+1)(z^2 - 5z + 1)}{(z-1)(z^2 + 3z + 1)(z^2 - 7z + 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_0(z) = z(z+1)(z^2 - 5z + 1), \quad f_1(z) = -4z^2(z^2 - 3z - 1), \quad f_2(z) = 6z^2(z^2 - z + 1),$$

and

$$f_3(z) = -4z^2(z^2 + 3z - 1), \quad f_4(z) = z^4 + 11z^3 - 14z^2 - 5z + 1.$$

6 D-finite sequence involved

The readers are referred to [Sta99, Chapter 6.4] for definitions of D-finite generating functions and P-recursive sequence. Let $\{G(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a P-recursive sequence with D-finite generating function $g(x)$. We wish to find a similar representation of the sum

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} F_j(k)$$

with F_j as before except for $F_{r+1}(k) = G(k)$ being P-recursive. We shall only consider the case $c_r = 0$ for brevity.

Define $S_m(z)$ as in (9). The recursion (10) still holds for $m \leq r$, and a similar calculation yields

$$S_{r+1}(z) = \text{CT}_x x^{-a_{r+1}n} g(x) S_r(zx^{-b_{r+1}}).$$

By Theorem 3, we can write

$$S_r(z) = \frac{L_r(P_r(z)) - z^n \tilde{L}_r(P_r(z))}{Q_r(z)}. \quad (17)$$

Since $S_r(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree less than n , $\deg P_r(z) < \deg Q_r(z)$ and $Q_r(0) \neq 0$.

Now put (17) into the recursion and set $z = 1$, we obtain

$$S_{r+1}(1) = \text{CT}_x x^{-a_{r+1}n} g(x) \frac{L_r(P_r(x^{-b_{r+1}})) - x^{-nb_{r+1}} \tilde{L}_r(P_r(x^{-b_{r+1}}))}{Q_r(x^{-b_{r+1}})}$$

This expression can be written as

$$S_{r+1}(1) = \text{CT}_x x^{-a_{r+1}n} \bar{g}(x) L_r(\bar{P}(x)) - x^{-n(a_{r+1}+b_{r+1})} \tilde{L}_r(\bar{P}(x)) \bar{g}(x),$$

where $\bar{g}(x) = g(x)\bar{Q}(x)^{-1}$, with

$$\frac{P_r(x^{-b_{r+1}})}{Q_r(x^{-b_{r+1}})} = \frac{\bar{P}(x)}{\bar{Q}(x)}$$

being in its standard representation.

Now if we let $\bar{G}(k) = [x^k]\bar{g}(x)$. Then we have a representation of $S_{r+1}(1)$ by a linear combination of terms of the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r+1} \bar{F}_j(u_j n + v_j),$$

where $\bar{F}_j(k)$ is the primitive sequence of $F_j(k)$ as before, except that $\bar{F}_{r+1}(k) = \bar{G}(k)$.

It is clear that $\bar{g}(x)$ is also D-finite and hence $\bar{G}(k)$ is P-recursive. It can be shown that if $G(k)$ satisfy a P-recursion of order e then we can find for $\bar{G}(k)$ a P-recursion of order $e + \deg \bar{Q}(x)$.

Acknowledgements

References

- [APR01] G.E. Andrews, P. Paule, and A. Riese. Macmahon's partition analysis iii: the Omega package. *Europ. J. Combin.*, 22:887–904, 2001.
- [GW07] C. Greene and H.S. Wilf. Closed form summation of C -finite sequences. *Trans. AMS*, 359(3):1161–1190, 2007.
- [Mac16] P.A. MacMahon. *Combinatory Analysis, 2 volumes*. Cambridge University, 1915–1916. (Reprinted: Chelsea, New York, 1960).
- [Sta86] R.P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics, I*. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1986. (Reprinted: Cambridge University Press, 1997).
- [Sta99] R.P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics, II*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [Xin04] G. Xin. A fast algorithm for MacMahon's partition analysis. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11:R58, 2004.
- [Zei90] D. Zeilberger. A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 32:321–368, 1990.

