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#### Abstract

Let $I_{n}$ be the (big) diagonal ideal of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$. Haiman proved that the $q, t$-Catalan number is the Hilbert series of the graded vector space $M_{n}=\bigoplus_{d_{1}, d_{2}}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ spanned by a minimal set of generators for $I_{n}$. We give simple upper bounds on $\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ in terms of partition numbers, and find all bi-degrees $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ achieve the upper bounds. For such bi-degrees, we also find explicit bases for $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$.


Résumé. Soit $I_{n}$ l'idéal de la (grande) diagonale de $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$. Haiman a démontré que le $q, t$-nombre de Catalan est la série de Hilbert de l'espace vectoriel gradué $M_{n}=\bigoplus_{d_{1}, d_{2}}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ engendré par un ensemble minimal de générateurs de $I_{n}$. Nous obtenons des bornes supérieures simples pour $\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ en termes de nombres de partitions, ainsi que tous les bi-degrés $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ pour lesquels ces bornes supérieures sont atteintes. Pour ces bi-degrés, nous trouvons aussi des bases explicites de $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$.
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## 1 introduction

### 1.1 Background

The goal of this paper is to study the $q, t$-Catalan numbers and the (thick) diagonal ideal in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$, and discuss some technique that we have developed recently.

Let $n$ be a positive integer. Consider the set of $n$-tuples $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in the plane $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. They form an affine space $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$ with coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right]$. There is a natural symmetric group $S_{n}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ by permuting the coordinates in $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ simultaneously. With this group action, a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is called alternating if

$$
\sigma(f)=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) f \quad \text { for all } \sigma \in S_{n}
$$

Define $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\epsilon}$ to be the vector space of alternating polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$.

[^0]There is a more combinatorial way to describe $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\epsilon}$. Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{n}$ be the set of $n$-tuples $D=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. For $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}$, define

$$
\Delta(D):=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} y_{1}^{\beta_{1}} & x_{1}^{\alpha_{2}} y_{1}^{\beta_{2}} & \ldots & x_{1}^{\alpha_{n}} y_{1}^{\beta_{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n}^{\alpha_{1}} y_{n}^{\beta_{1}} & x_{n}^{\alpha_{2}} y_{n}^{\beta_{2}} & \ldots & x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} y_{n}^{\beta_{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\{\Delta(D)\}_{D \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}}$ forms a basis for the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\epsilon}$.
It is easy to see that any alternating polynomial vanishes on the thick diagonal of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$. (By thick diagonal we mean the set of $n$-tuples of points in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ where at least two points coincide.) A theorem of Haiman asserts that the converse is also true: any polynomial that vanishes on the diagonal of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$ can be generated by alternating polynomials, i.e.

$$
\bigcap_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}, y_{i}-y_{j}\right)=\text { ideal generated by } \Delta(D) \text { 's. }
$$

We call the above ideal the diagonal ideal and denote it by $I_{n}$. the number of minimal generators of $I_{n}$, which is the same as the dimension of the vector space $M_{n}=I_{n} /(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) I_{n}$, is equal to the $n$-th Catalan number. The space $M_{n}$ is doubly graded as $\oplus_{d_{1}, d_{2}}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$. The $q, t$-Catalan number, originally introduced by A.M.Garsia and M.Haiman in [4], can be defined as

$$
C_{n}(q, t)=\sum_{d_{1}, d_{2}} t^{d_{1}} q^{d_{2}} \operatorname{dim}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}
$$

The $q, t$-Catalan number $C_{n}(q, t)$ also has a combinatorial interpretation using Dyck paths. To be more precise, take the $n \times n$ square whose southwest corner is $(0,0)$ and northeast corner is $(n, n)$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ be the collection of Dyck paths, i.e. lattice paths from $(0,0)$ to $(n, n)$ that proceed by NORTH or EAST steps and never go below the diagonal. For any Dyck path $\Pi$, let $a_{i}(\Pi)$ be the number of squares in the $i$-th row that lie in the region bounded by $\Pi$ and the diagonal. A.M.Garsia and J.Haglund ([2], [3]) among others showed that

$$
C_{n}(q, t)=\sum_{\Pi \in \mathcal{D}_{n}} q^{\operatorname{area}(\Pi)} t^{\operatorname{dinv}(\Pi)}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{dinv}(\Pi):=\mid\left\{(i, j) \mid i<j \text { and } a_{i}(\Pi)=a_{j}(\Pi)\right\}|+|\left\{(i, j) \mid i<j \text { and } a_{i}(\Pi)+1=a_{j}(\Pi)\right\} \mid
$$

Haiman posed a question asking for a rule that associate to each Dyck path $\Pi$ an element $D(\Pi) \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbf{x}} \Delta(D(\Pi))=\operatorname{area}(\Pi), \operatorname{deg}_{\mathbf{y}} \Delta(D(\Pi))=\operatorname{dinv}(\Pi)$, and that the set $\{\Delta(D(\Pi))\}$ generates $I_{n}$. The last condition is equivalent to requiring the images $\{\overline{\Delta(D(\Pi))}\}$ form a basis of $M_{n}$ ). It is natural to ask the following more general question:

Question 1.1 Given a bi-degree $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$, is there a combinatorially significant construction of the basis for each $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ ?

### 1.2 Main results

This paper initiates the approach to the study of $M_{n}$ by comparing it with $M_{n^{\prime}}$ for a large integer $n^{\prime}$. On the one hand, there is a natural map $M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n^{\prime}}$ for any $n^{\prime}>n$. On the other hand, for $n^{\prime}$ sufficiently large, the basis of $\left(M_{n^{\prime}}\right)_{d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}}$ becomes "stable" if we fix $d_{2}$ and fix

$$
k=\binom{n}{2}-d_{1}-d_{2}=\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}-d_{1}^{\prime}-d_{2} .
$$

Therefore we can take the "limit" of such basis for $n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$. This basis is indexed by the partitions of $k$. As a consequence, $\left(M_{n^{\prime}}\right)_{d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}}$ can be imbedded as a subspace of the polynomial ring with infinite many variables $\mathbb{C}\left[\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots\right]$. The induced map

$$
\bar{\varphi}:\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots\right]
$$

which will be defined explicitly in subsection 1.2 .3 . provides a powerful tool to study $M_{n}$.

### 1.2.1 Asymptotic behavior when $k \ll n$

We shall show that if $k \ll n$, then $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ has a basis $\{\overline{\Delta(D)}\}$ where $D$ are so-called minimal staircase forms that will be defined later.

The essential step is to observe the following three linear relations that turn the questions into combinatorial games First we introduce some notations.
$\bullet$ For $D=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}$ where $P_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$, define $\left|P_{i}\right|=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}$.
Relation 1. Given positive integers $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ such that $\left|P_{i}\right|=i-1,\left|P_{i+1}\right|=i,\left|P_{j}\right|=j-1$, $\left|P_{j+1}\right|=j, \beta_{i}>0, \alpha_{j}>0$ (we assume $\left|P_{n+1}\right|=n$ ). Let $D^{\prime}$ be obtained from $D$ by moving $P_{i}$ to southeast and $P_{j}$ to northwest, i.e.

$$
D^{\prime}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i-1}, P_{i}+(1,-1), P_{i+1}, \ldots, P_{j-1}, P_{j}+(-1,1), P_{j+1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}
$$

Then $\overline{\Delta(D)}=\overline{\Delta\left(D^{\prime}\right)}$.
Example: $n=9, i=2, j=6$.


Relation 2. Given positive integers $h, \ell$ and $m$ such that $2 \leq h<h+\ell+m \leq n+1,\left|P_{h}\right|=h-1,\left|P_{h+\ell}\right|=$ $h+\ell-1,\left|P_{h+\ell+m}\right|=h+\ell+m-1$ (by convention, the last equality holds if $h+\ell+m=n+1$ ) and $\alpha_{h+\ell}, \ldots, \alpha_{h+\ell+m-1} \geq \ell$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be obtained from $D$ by moving the $m$ points $P_{h+\ell}, \ldots, P_{h+\ell+m-1}$ to the left by $\ell$ units and moving the $\ell$ points $P_{h}, \ldots, P_{h+\ell-1}$ to the right by $m$ units, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime}=\{ & P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{h-1}, P_{h+\ell}-(\ell, 0), P_{h+\ell+1}-(\ell, 0), \ldots, P_{h+\ell+m-1}-(\ell, 0), \\
& \left.P_{h}+(m, 0), P_{h+1}+(m, 0), \ldots, P_{h+\ell-1}+(m, 0), P_{h+\ell+m}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\overline{\Delta(D)}=\overline{\Delta\left(D^{\prime}\right)}$.

Example: $n=10, h=3, \ell=4, m=3$.


Relation 3. Given positive integers $j$ and $s$. Suppose $P_{s_{0}}$ is the last point in $D$ satisfying $\left|P_{i}\right|=i-1$. Define $j=\left(s_{0}-1-\left|P_{s_{0}}\right|\right)+\left(s_{0}-\left|P_{s_{0}+1}\right|\right)+\cdots+\left(n-1-\left|P_{n}\right|\right)$. Suppose $\left|P_{i}\right|=i-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq j+2$, $P_{2}=(1,0), s_{0} \leq s \leq n$, and $\alpha_{s}, \beta_{s} \geq 1$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\nwarrow} & =\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{j+1}, P_{j+2}+(1,-1), P_{j+3}, \ldots, P_{s-1}, P_{s}+(-1,1), P_{s+1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}, \\
D^{\searrow} & =\left\{P_{1},(0,1), P_{3}, \ldots, P_{s-1}, P_{s}+(1,-1), P_{s+2}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $2 \overline{\Delta(D)}=\overline{\Delta\left(D^{\nwarrow}\right)}+\overline{\Delta(D \searrow)}$.
Example: $n=9, i=2, j=6$.


We call $D=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$ a minimal staircase form if $\left|P_{i}\right|=i-1$ or $i-2$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. For a minimal staircase form $D$, let $\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{\ell}\right\}$ be the set of $i$ 's such that $\left|P_{i}\right|=i-1$, we define the partition type of $D$ to be the partition of $\left(\binom{n}{2}-\sum\left|P_{i}\right|\right)$ consisting of all the positive integers in the sequence

$$
\left(i_{1}-1, i_{2}-i_{1}-1, i_{3}-i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{\ell}-i_{\ell-1}-1, n-i_{\ell}\right)
$$

Example: Let $n=8$ and $D=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{8}\right\}$ satisfying $\left(\left|P_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|P_{8}\right|\right)=(0,1,1,2,4,4,5,6)$. Then $D$ is a minimal staircase form. The set $\left\{i\left|\left|P_{i}\right|=i-1\right\}\right.$ equals $\{1,2,5\}$. The positive integers in the sequence $(1-1,2-1-1,5-2-1,8-5)$ are $(2,3)$, so the partition type of $D$ is $(2,3)$.


Let $p(k)$ denote the number of partitions of an integer $k$ and $\Pi_{k}$ denote the set of partitions of $k$.
Theorem 1.2 Let $k$ be any positive integer. There are positive constants $c_{1}=8 k+5, c_{2}=2 k+1$ such that the following holds:

For integers $n, d_{1}, d_{2}$ satisfying $n \geq c_{1}, d_{1} \geq c_{2} n, d_{2} \geq c_{2} n$ and $d_{1}+d_{2}=\binom{n}{2}-k$, the vector space $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ has dimension $p(k)$, and the $p(k)$ elements

$$
\left\{\text { a minimal staircase form of bi-degree }\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \text { and of partition type } \mu\right\}_{\mu \in \Pi_{k}}
$$

form a basis of $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$.
Note that N.Bergeron and Z.Chen have found explicit bases for $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ for certain bi-degrees using a different method [1].

### 1.2.2 For arbitrary $k$ and $n$

Denote by $p(k)$ the partition number of $k$ and by convention $p(0)=1$ and $p(k)=0$ for $k<0$. Denote by $p(b, k)$ the partition number of $k$ into no more than $b$ parts, and by convention $p(0, k)=0$ for $k>0$, $p(b, 0)=1$ for $b \geq 0$. One of our main results is as follows.

Theorem 1.3 Let $d_{1}, d_{2}$ be non-negative integers $d_{1}, d_{2}$ with $d_{1}+d_{2} \leq\binom{ n}{2}$. Define $k=\binom{n}{2}-d_{1}-d_{2}$ and $\delta=\min \left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$. Then the coefficient of $q^{d_{1}} t^{d_{2}}$ in $C_{n}(q, t)$ is less than or equal to $p(\delta, k)$, and the equality holds if and only if one the following conditions holds:

- $k \leq n-3$ or
- $k=n-2$ and $\delta=1$, or
- $\delta=0$.

This theorem is a consequence of Theorem C. It contains [8, Theorem 6] and a result of N.Bergeron and Z.Chen [1, Corollary 8.3.1] as special cases. In fact it proves [8, Conjecture 8]. We feel that the coefficient of $q^{d_{1}} t^{d_{2}}$ for general $k$ can also be expressed in terms of partition numbers, only that the expression might be complicated. For example, we give the following conjecture which is verified for $6 \leq n \leq 10$.
Conjecture. Let $n, d_{1}, d_{2}, \delta, k$ be as in Theorem 1.3. If $n-2 \leq k \leq 2 n-8$ and $\delta \geq k$, then the coefficient of $q^{d_{1}} t^{d_{2}}$ in $C_{n}(q, t)$ equals

$$
p(k)-2[p(0)+p(1)+\cdots+p(k-n+1)]-p(k-n+2)
$$

As a corollary of Theorem 1.3 , we can compute some higher degree terms of the specialization at $t=q$.

## Corollary 1.4

$$
C_{n}(q, q)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-3}\left(p(k)\left(\binom{n}{2}-3 k+1\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p(i, k)\right) q^{\binom{n}{2}-k}+(\text { lower degree terms })
$$

The following theorem immediately implies Theorem 1.3 .
Theorem 1.5 Let $d_{1}$, $d_{2}$ be non-negative integers $d_{1}, d_{2}$ with $d_{1}+d_{2} \leq\binom{ n}{2}$. Define $k=\binom{n}{2}-d_{1}-d_{2}$ and $\delta=\min \left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}} \leq p(\delta, k)$, and the equality holds if and only if one the following conditions holds:

- $k \leq n-3$, or
- $k=n-2$ and $\delta=1$, or
- $\delta=0$.

In case the equality holds, there is an explicit construction of a basis of $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$.

The idea of the construction of the basis in the above theorem consists of two parts:
(1) Prove that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}} \leq p(\delta, k)
$$

using a new characterization of $q, t$-Catalan numbers. The characterization is as follows, and is discovered independently by A. Woo [10].
Let $\mathfrak{D}_{n}^{\text {catalan }}$ be the set consisting of $D \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, where $D$ contains $n$ points satisfying the following conditions.
(a) If $(p, 0) \in D$ then $(i, 0) \in D, \forall i \in[0, p]$.
(b) For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\#\{j \mid(p+1, j) \in D\}+\#\{j \mid(p, j) \in D\} \geq \max \{j \mid(p, j) \in D\}+1
$$

(If $\{j \mid(p, j) \in D\}=\emptyset$, then we require that no point $(i, j) \in D$ satisfies $i \geq p$.) Denote by $\operatorname{deg}_{x} D$ (resp. $\operatorname{deg}_{y} D$ ) the sum of the first (resp. second) components of the $n$ points in $D$.
Proposition 1.6 The coefficient of $q^{d_{1}} t^{d_{2}}$ in the $q, t$-Catalan number $C_{n}(q, t)$ is equal to

$$
\#\left\{D \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}^{\text {catalan }} \mid \operatorname{deg}_{x} D=d_{1}, \operatorname{deg}_{y} D=d_{2}\right\}
$$

(2) Construct a set of $p(\delta, k)$ linearly independent elements in $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$. It seems difficult (as least to the authors) to test directly whether a given set of elements in $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$ are linearly independent. We define a map $\varphi$ sending an alternating polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\epsilon}$ to a polynomial ring

$$
\mathbb{C}[\rho]:=\mathbb{C}\left[\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}, \ldots\right] .
$$

The map has two desirable properties: (i) for many $f, \varphi(f)$ can be easily computed, and (ii) for each bi-degree $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right), \varphi$ induces a morphism $\bar{\varphi}:\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\rho]$ of $\mathbb{C}$-modules. Then we use the fact the linear dependency is easier to check in $\mathbb{C}[\rho]$ than in $\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}}$. The map $\varphi$ is defined as below.

### 1.2.3 Maps $\varphi$ and $\bar{\varphi}$.

(a) Define the map $\varphi: \mathfrak{D}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ as follows. Let $D=\left\{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right\} \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}, k=\binom{n}{2}-$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)$, and define

$$
\varphi(D):=(-1)^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum \rho_{w_{1}} \rho_{w_{2}} \cdots \rho_{w_{b_{i}}}\right)
$$

where $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{b_{i}}\right)$ in the sum $\sum \rho_{w_{1}} \rho_{w_{2}} \cdots \rho_{w_{b_{i}}}$ runs through the set

$$
\left\{\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{b_{i}}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{b_{i}} \mid w_{1}+\ldots+w_{b_{i}}=\sigma(i)-1-a_{i}-b_{i}\right\}
$$

with the convention that

$$
\sum \rho_{w_{1} \ldots \rho_{w_{b_{i}}}}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \sigma(i)-1-a_{i}-b_{i}<0 \\ 0 & \text { if } b_{i}=0 \text { and } \sigma(i)-1-a_{i}-b_{i}>0 \\ 1 & \text { if } b_{i}=0 \text { and } \sigma(i)-1-a_{i}-b_{i}=0\end{cases}
$$

(b) Here is an equivalent definition of $\varphi(D)$. Define the weight of $\rho_{i}$ to be $i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$and define the weight of $\rho_{0}=1$ to be 0 . Naturally the weight of any monomial $c \rho_{i_{1}} \ldots \rho_{i_{n}}(c \in \mathbb{Z})$ is defined to be $i_{1}+\ldots+i_{n}$. For $\mathrm{w} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a power series $f \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots\right]\right]$, denote by $\{f\}_{\mathrm{w}}$ the sum of terms of weight-w in $f$, which is a polynomial. Define

$$
h(b, \mathrm{w}):=\left\{\left(1+\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}+\cdots\right)^{b}\right\}_{\mathrm{w}}, \quad b \in \mathbb{N}, \mathrm{w} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Naturally $h(b, \mathrm{w})=0$ if $\mathrm{w}<0$. Also assume $\left(1+\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}+\cdots\right)^{0}=1$. Then

$$
\varphi(D)=(-1)^{k}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
h\left(b_{1},-\left|P_{1}\right|\right) & h\left(b_{1}, 1-\left|P_{1}\right|\right) & h\left(b_{1}, 2-\left|P_{1}\right|\right) & \cdots & h\left(b_{1}, n-1-\left|P_{1}\right|\right) \\
h\left(b_{2},-\left|P_{2}\right|\right) & h\left(b_{2}, 1-\left|P_{2}\right|\right) & h\left(b_{2}, 2-\left|P_{2}\right|\right) & \cdots & h\left(b_{2}, n-1-\left|P_{2}\right|\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h\left(b_{n},-\left|P_{n}\right|\right) & h\left(b_{n}, 1-\left|P_{n}\right|\right) & h\left(b_{n}, 2-\left|P_{n}\right|\right) & \cdots & h\left(b_{n}, n-1-\left|P_{n}\right|\right)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

(c) Let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{\ell} \in D^{\prime}$ be of the same bi-degree and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{i} D_{i}$ be the formal sum for any $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ). Define

$$
\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{i} D_{i}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{i} \varphi\left(D_{i}\right)
$$

For any bi-homogeneous alternating polynomials $f=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{i} \Delta\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]^{\epsilon}$, we define

$$
\varphi(f):=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{i} D_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{i} \varphi\left(D_{i}\right)
$$

by abuse of notation.
Proposition 1.7 Fix any pair of nonnegative integers $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$, the map $\varphi$ induces a well-defined linear map

$$
\bar{\varphi}:\left(M_{n}\right)_{d_{1}, d_{2}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\rho] .
$$

Moreover, this map $\bar{\varphi}$ is conjecturally injective. And our future work is to generalizing it to the case $I_{n}^{m} /(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) I_{n}^{m}$ for any positive integer $m$.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to François Bergeron, Mahir Can, Jim Haglund, Nick Loehr, Alex Woo and Alex Yong for valuable discussions and correspondence.

## References

[1] N. Bergeron and Z. Chen, Basis of Diagonally Alternating Harmonic Polynomials for low degree, arXiv: 0905.0377.
[2] A. M. Garsia and J. Haglund, A positivity result in the theory of Macdonald polynomials, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98 (2001), no. 8, 4313-4316 (electronic).
[3] A. M. Garsia and J. Haglund, A proof of the $q, t$-Catalan positivity conjecture. LaCIM 2000 Conference on Combinatorics, Computer Science and Applications (Montreal, QC). Discrete Math. 256 (2002), no. 3, 677-717.
[4] A. M. Garsia and M. Haiman, A Remarkable q; t-Catalan sequence and q-Lagrange inversion, J. Algebraic Combin. 5 (1996), 191-244.
[5] M. Haiman, Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 4, 941-1006.
[6] M. Haiman, Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Invent. Math. 149 (2002), no. 2, 371-407.
[7] M. Haiman, Commutative algebra of $n$ points in the plane, With an appendix by Ezra Miller. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 51, Trends in commutative algebra, 153-180, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
[8] K. Lee, L. Li, Notes on a minimal set of generators for the radical ideal defining the diagonal locus of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$, Arxiv math 0901.1176 .
[9] K. Lee, L. Li, $q, t$-Catalan numbers and generators for the radical ideal defining the diagonal locus of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n}$, ArXiv math 0909.1612.
[10] A. Woo, private communication.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Research of the first author partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0901367.
    1365-8050 © 2010 Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DMTCS), Nancy, France

