## Ultimately periodic and n-divided words

Giuseppe Pirillo CNR- IAMI Sez. Firenze viale Morgagni 67/A I-50134 FIRENZE ITALIA

and

Stefano Varricchio Dipartimento di Matematica - Università via Vetoio (loc. Coppito) I-67100 L'AQUILA ITALIA

**Abstract.** We prove that if an infinite word does not contains n-divided factors then it is ultimately periodic.

Our terminology is that usual in theoretical computer science [1]. In particular, the free monoid (resp. free semigroup) generated by the alphabet A is denoted by A (resp.  $A^{\dagger}$ ). We call the elements of A (finite) words and those of A letters and we denote by A the length of a word A of A.

We denote by N the set of the non-negative integers and we extend the notion of a word to infinite words. A (right) *infinite word* on A is a map t from N into A. We write t=t(0)t(1)...t(i)...

We say that a word u is a k-power if there exists a word v such that u=v. Let t be a right-infinite word and p be a positive integer. We say that t is ultimately periodic of period p (in short ultimately p-periodic) if for some  $i_0$  in N we have t(i+p)=t(i) for  $i\geq i_0$ .

Suppose now that A is endowed whith a *total order* and consider on  $A^+$  the *lexicographic order* induced by it. If  $u,v \in A^+$  we write u < v if u strictly precedes v in this order.

Let  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  be a factorization of the word x and let  $\sigma$  be a non trivial element of the symmetric group  $\sum_n$ . We write  $x_n$  for

Definition 1. A word x is n-divided if it admits an n-divided factorization  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ ,

i.e. a factorization such that for each  $\sigma \in \sum_{n}$ -{id} one has

$$x>x_{\sigma}$$

Definition 2. An infinite word t is ultimately w-divided if it admits a factorization

$$t=t_0t_1t_2...t_i...$$

such that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ -{0} and for each  $n \ge 2$ 

$$t_i \dots t_{n+i-1}$$

is an n-divided factorization.

The following is a version of a famous theorem of Shirshov.

**Theorem 1.** (Shirshov, [1]). Let  $k,r,n\geq 1$  be integer such that  $r\geq 2n$ . There exist an integer N(k,r,n) such that for any totally ordered alphabet A with k letters, any word in  $A^+$  of length N(k,r,n) contains as a factor either an n-divided word or a r-power, say u', with 0 < |u| < n.

Recently several papers has appeared on this subject, for example [2-7]. In particular in [5] is proven the following result: given any finite or infinite alphabet A and a total order on it then each infinite word on A is either ultimately periodic either ultimately w-divided for the given total order either ultimately w-divided for the inverse of the given total order.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let's be an infinite word on a finite alphabet A and n be an integer greater than I. If there exist a total order on A such that s does not contain an n-divided factor then there exist a positive integer  $p \le n$  such that s is ultimately p-periodic.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that s is not ultimately p-periodic.

By Theorem 1, for each  $\rho \ge 2n$ , there exists a factor  $w_0$  of s such that

$$|w_{\mathcal{O}}| \leq n$$

and that

$$(w_{\rho})^{\rho}$$

is a factor of s.

As A is finite, there exists an infinite subset R of N and a word u such that if  $\rho$  is in R then the w = u.

So 
$$u^k$$
 is a factor of  $s$  for each  $k \ge 1$ .

We claim that we can factorise  $s$  in the following way:
$$s = r_1 u^{i(1)} t_1 r_2 u^{i(2)} t_2 \dots r_{h-1} u^{i(h-1)} t_{h-1} r_h u^{(h)} t_h \dots$$

with

$$|u|=|t_{1}|=|t_{2}|=...=|t_{h-1}|=|t_{h}|=...,$$
 $u \neq t_{m}$ 

for each  $m \ge 1$ , and

$$1 \le i(1) < i(2) < ... < i(h-1) < i(h) < ...$$

Let  $r_i$  the shortest left factor of s such that for a suitable infinite word  $s^{(1)}$ , one has  $s=r_1s^{(1)}$  and u is a left factor of  $s^{(1)}$ . Clearly  $r_1$  exist. Let i(1) the greatest integer such that  $u^{i(1)}$  is a left factor of  $s^{(1)}$ . The integer i(1) exist otherwise s must be ultimately *p*-periodic. Clearly  $1 \le i(1)$  and  $r_1 u^{i(1)}$  is a left factor of *s*. Let  $t_1$  the word of length |u| such that  $r_1 u^{i(1)} t_1$  is a left factor of s. By maximality of i(1) we have that u is different from  $t_1$ .

Now suppose that, for 
$$h \ge 2$$
,
$$r_1 u^{i(1)} t_1 r_2 u^{i(2)} t_2 ... r_{h-1} u^{i(h-1)} t_{h-1}$$

is a left factor of s such that

$$|u|=|t_1|=|t_2|=...=|t_{h-1}|,$$
  
 $1 \le i(1) < i(2) < ... < i(h-1)$ 

and for each  $i, 1 \le i \le h-1, t_i$  is different from u.

$$s = r_1 u^{i(1)} t_1 r_2 u^{i(2)} t_2 \dots r_{h-1} u^{i(h-1)} t_{h-1} s^{(h)}$$

where  $s^{(h)}$  is a suitable infinite word.

Let  $r_h$  be the shortest left factor of  $s^{(h)}$  such that for a suitable infinite word  $s^{(h+1)}$ 

$$s^{(h)} = r_h s^{(h+1)}$$

and

$$u^{i(h-1)+1}$$

is a left factor of  $s^{(h+1)}$ 

The word  $r_h$  exist becouse  $u^k$  is a factor of s for each  $k \ge 1$ .

Let i(h) be the greatest integer such that  $u^{i(h)}$  is a left factor of  $s^{(h+1)}$ . The integer i(h) exist otherwise s must be ultimately p-periodic. Let  $t_h$  be the word of length lul such that

$$r_1 u^{i(1)} t_1 r_2 u^{i(2)} t_2 \cdots r_{h-1} u^{i(h-1)} t_{h-1} r_h u^{(h)} t_h$$
is again a left factor of s.

Clearly

$$|u| = |t_1| = |t_2| = \dots = |t_{h-1}| = |t_h|$$

One has again

$$1 \le i(1) < i(2) < \dots < i(h-1) < i(h)$$

becouse  $i(h) \ge i(h-1) + 1 > i(h-1)$ .
Finally also i(h-1) = i(h-1). Finally also  $t_h$  is different from u by maximality of i(h).

This complete the proof of the claim.

Now, as A is finite there exist an infinite subset J of N and a word v such that, for each j in J,  $t_j = v$  (and hence |u| = |v|). Let  $j_1, j_2, ..., j_n, j_{n+1}, j_{n+2}, ..., j_{2n-1}$ elements of J such that

$$j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n < j_{n+1} < j_{n+2} < \dots < j_{2n-1}$$
 We have two cases to consider.

Case v>u. The word

$$(u^{i(j_1)}v...r_{j_2})(u^{i(j_2)}v...r_{j_3})...(u^{i(j_n)}v)$$

is clearly *n*-divided. Contradiction.

Case u>v. Remark that  $i(j_{n+m}) \ge n-m$  for each  $m, 0 \le m \le n-1$ . So we can pose

$$u^{i(j_{n+m})} = z'_{j_{n+m}} z_{j_{n+m}}^{j_{n+m}}$$
, where  $|z_{j_{n+m}}| = |u|^{n-m}$ . The word

$$(z, v...z', )(z, v...z', v...z', )...(z, v)$$
is clearly *n*-divided. Contradiction.

## REFERENCES

1. M. LOTHAIRE, *Combinatorics on words*, Encyclopedia of Math. and its Applications, n.17, Addison-Wesley, London (1983).

2. A. de LUCA, S. VARRICCHIO, Combinatorial Properties of Uniformly Recurrent Words and an Application to Semigroups, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, Vol. 1, N.2, 1991.

3. J. JUSTIN, G. PIRILLO, Shirshov's theorem and  $\omega$ -permutability of semigroups, Advances in Math. 87,2 (1991) 151-159.

4. J. JUSTIN, G. PIRILLO, Factorial languages and some combinatorial properties of semigroups, LITP, 1991.

5. G. PIRILLO, Sur un théorème combinatoire de Shirshov, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 313,1 (1991) 631-634.

6. A. RESTIVO, C. REUTENAUER, Some applications of a theorem of Shirshov to language theory, Information and Control 57 (1983) 205-213.

7. S. VARRICCHIO, Factorizations of Free Monoids and Unavoidable Regularities, Theoret, Comput. Sci., 73, 81-89 (1990).

Une méthode pour obtenir la fonction génératrice algébrique d'une série.

Simon Plouffe LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I 351, cours de la Libération Talence 33405 France Mars 1993.

## Résumé

Nous décrivons ici une méthode expérimentale permettant de calculer de bons candidats pour une forme close de fonctions génératrices à partir des premiers termes d'une suite de nombres rationnels. La méthode est basée sur l'algorithme LLL¹ et utilise deux programmes de calcul symbolique, soit MapleV et Pari-GP. Quelques résultats sont présentés en appendice. Cette méthode a été testée sur toute la table de suites du livre , *The New book of Integer Sequences*, de N.J.A Sloane et S. Plouffe (en préparation). Ainsi, nous avons obtenu de cette façon la fonction génératrice,

$$\frac{z + (z + 1)^{1/2} (1 - 3 z)^{1/2} - 1}{2 (z^{2} (z + 1)^{1/2} (1 - 3 z)^{1/2})}$$

pour la suite: 1, 2, 6, 16, 45, 126, 357, 1016, 2907, 8350, 24068, 69576, 201643, 585690,... qui apparaît en page 78 du livre de Louis Comtet, *Adanced Combinatorics*.

Nommé ainsi à cause des travaux de Lenstra, Lenstra et Lovasz.