B_n Stanley Symmetric Functions

Extended Abstract

T. K. Lam

March 22, 1994

Our main objective is to study the B_n Stanley symmetric functions, G_w . This has been independently investigated by Fomin and Kirillov[4] and by Stembridge[15]. It is the analogue of the Stanley symmetric functions for the symmetric group. This symmetric function also appeared in [1] in the search for an analogue of the Schubert polynomials in other classical groups. First, we will define the B_n Stanley symmetric functions using the nilCoxeter algebra. From here, it can be shown that it is symmetric and can be written as an integer combination of Schur P-functions. Using the Kraśkiewicz insertion first described in [9], we can show that they are actually nonnegative integer combinations of the Schur P-functions. (Theorem 1.5) We will described the Kraśkiewicz insertion and the B-Coxeter-Knuth relations. These are all analogues of the Edelman-Greene insertion. Next, looking into other properties of the Kraśkiewicz insertion, we are able to give some nice descriptions for G_w .

L'objet principal de cet article est d'étudier les fonctions symétriques de Stanley pour B_n , notées G_w . Celles-ci furent étudiées indépendamment par Fomin et Kirillov[4] et par Stembridge[15]. Ces fonctions symétriques ont paru également dans [1] où l'on recherche un analogue des polynômes de Schubert dans le cas d'autres groupes classiques. On commence en définissant les fonctions symétriques de Stanley pour B_n en employant l'algèbre nilCoxeter. Par la suite on peut démontrer qu'elles sont en effet symétriques et qu'elles peuvent être exprimées en combinaisons linéaires de fonctions P-Schur à coefficients entiers. En utilisant l'algorithme d'insertion de Kraśkiewicz [9], on peut montrer que les coefficients sont en fait non négatifs (Théorème 1.5). On décrit l'algorithme d'insertion de Kraśkiewicz et les relations B-Coxeter-Knuth. Ceux-ci sont tous des analogues de l'algorithme d'insertion d'Edelman et Greene. Ensuite, en considérant d'autres propriétés de l'algorithme d'insertion de Kraśkiewicz, on parvient à certaines jolies descriptions des fonctions G_w .

1 The Hyperoctahedral Group and the nilCoxeter algebra

We will spend some time on giving definitions and notations here. First, some basic facts about the hyperoctahedral group, B_n . The main reference used is [8]. B_n is the Weyl group corresponding to the root system, B_n . We represent an element of B_n as a signed permutation and write it down in 1-line notation. The simple reflections are denoted by s_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots n$.

$$s_0 = \overline{1} 2 \cdots n$$

$$s_i = 12 \cdots i - 1 i + 1 i i + 2 \cdots n \text{ for } 1 \le i < n$$

Every element $w \in B_n$ can be expressed as a product of s_i 's since the simple reflections generate the group. Any such expression of shortest length is called a *reduced word* and its length denoted by l(w). The collection of reduced words of w is denoted by R(w). When we write a reduced word, we will often only write the subscripts of the simple reflections. So, $s_3s_2s_1s_0s_3s_2s_3$ is written simply as 3210323. It is a reduced word for the signed permutation $\overline{4321}$. When we multiply s_i 's, we do it from the right. Under this convention, a simple reflection s_i acts on 1-line notation by switching the numbers in positions i and i + 1 if $i \neq 0$ and changing the sign of the first number if i = 0.

Following the presentation in [4], we define the nilCoxeter algebra for B_n .

Definition 1.1 Let \mathcal{B}_n be the non-commutative algebra generated by u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} under the relations:

$$u_i^2 = 0 \qquad i \ge 0 u_i u_j = u_j u_i \qquad |i - j| > 1 u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1} \qquad i > 0 u_0 u_1 u_0 u_1 = u_1 u_0 u_1 u_0$$

The nilCoxeter algebra has a vector space basis of signed permutations of B_n . This is because the last 3 relations listed above are the Coxeter relations for B_n which are satisfied by the simple reflections s_i .

Definition 1.2 Define in the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{B}_n[x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots]$

$$B(x_i) = (1 + x_i u_{n-1})(1 + x_i u_{n-2}) \cdots (1 + x_i u_1)(1 + x_i u_0)(1 + x_i u_1) \cdots (1 + x_i u_{n-2})(1 + x_i u_{n-1})$$

Consider the expansion of the following formal power series in terms of the basis of signed permutations.

$$B(x_1)B(x_2)\cdots=\sum_{w\in B_n}G_w(x)w$$

The $G_w(x) = G_w(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ are called the B_n Stanley symmetric functions.

It can be shown from this definition that the G_w 's are symmetric functions[4, Proposition 4.2]. Moreover, if we replace x_1 by $-x_2$, we get

$$G_w(-x_2,x_2,x_3,x_4,\cdots)=G_w(x_3,x_4,\cdots)$$

This shows that they can be expressed in terms of Schur *P*-functions with integer coefficients. (See [10], [12] and [16] for a definition of Schur *P*-functions and other properties).

To show that these coefficients are nonnegative integers, we use an alternative description of G_u involving compatible sequences.

Definition 1.3 Let $a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \in R(w)$. We call a sequence of nonnegative integers $i = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m)$ an a-compatible sequence if

1.
$$i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_m$$

2. $i_j = i_{j+1} = \cdots = i_k$ occurs only when $a_j, a_{j+1}, \cdots, a_k$ is a unimodal sequence

Denote the set of a-compatible sequence as K(a).

Let $l_0(w)$ denote the number of bars in the 1-line notation of w. Note that the number of 0's in any reduced word of w is equal to $l_0(w)$. A simple observation gives:

Theorem 1.4 ([4, Equation (6.3)])

$$G_w(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in R(w)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \in K(\boldsymbol{a})} 2^{l(\boldsymbol{i}) - l_0(w)} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}$$

where l(i) is the number of distinct integers in i.

This is an analogue of [14, Equation (1)].

Theorem 1.5 For all $w \in B_n$,

$$G_{w}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{R \in \text{SDT}(w)} 2^{l(R) - l_{0}(w)} P_{\mathfrak{sh}(R)}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

standard decomposition talkoun.

We will postpone the proof till Section 3.

2 Kraśkiewicz Insertion

In this section, we will present Kraskiewicz insertion. The presentation is different from that in [9]. Firstly, we have used s_0 as the special reflection instead of s_n . So, the numbers that are used in a reduced word for B_n will range from 0 to n - 1. Secondly, our unimodal sequence will be a sequence of numbers that are initially strictly decreasing, then strictly increasing; that is

$$a = a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_k < a_{k+1} < \cdots < a_l$$

The decreasing part of a is defined to include the minimum, that is $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_k$ and the increasing part is $a_{k+1} < a_{k+2} < \cdots < a_l$. We denote them by $a \downarrow$ and $a \uparrow$ respectively.

For example, 21056 is a unimodal sequence with decreasing part 210 and increasing part 56. 2489 is unimodal with decreasing part 2 and increasing part 489. Note that a unimodal sequence always has a decreasing part.

A shifted Young diagram of shape $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_l), \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i < l$ is an arrangement of boxes such that the first row has length λ_1 , the second row has length λ_2 and so on. However, each succeeding row is indented 1 box to the right. A shifted Young tableau P is a shifted Young diagram with the boxes filled in with numbers and we denote the *i*th row by P_i . Its reading word π_P is defined to be $P_l P_{l-1} \cdots P_2 P_1$ where P_i is treated as a sequence of numbers. For the rest of this text, we will sometimes treat a row of a tableau as a sequence of numbers. For example,

5	4	1	2	
	4	2	3	

is a shifted Young tableau of shape (5,3) and reading word 4235412.

Definition 2.1 Let P be a shifted Young tableau with l rows such that

1. $\pi_P = P_1 P_{l-1} \cdots P_2 P_1$ is a reduced word of w

2. P_i is a unimodal subsequence of maximum length in $P_l P_{l-1} \cdots P_{i+1} P_i$

Then, P is called a standard decomposition tableau of w. and we denote the set of such tableaux by SDT(w).

The previous example is a standard decomposition tableau of the permutation 351624.

Let $w \in B_n$ and $a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \in R(w)$. The Kraskiewicz insertion algorithm will give a map

 $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$

where P is called the *insertion tableau* and Q is called the *recording tableau*. We will have to first construct a sequence of pairs of tableaux

$$(\emptyset, \emptyset) = (P^{(0)}, Q^{(0)}), (P^{(1)}, Q^{(1)}), \cdots, (P^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}) = (P, Q)$$

 $\operatorname{sh}(P^{(i)}) = \operatorname{sh}(Q^{(i)})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Each tableau $P^{(i)}$ is obtained by inserting a_i into $P^{(i-1)}$.

Insertion Algorithm:

Input: a_i and $(P^{(i-1)}, Q^{(i-1)})$. Output: $(P^{(i)}, Q^{(i)})$. Step 1: Let $a = a_i$ and R = 1st row of $P^{(i-1)}$. Step 2: Insert a into R as follows:

• Case 0: $R = \emptyset$. If this empty row is the kth row, we write a indented k - 1 boxes away from the left margin. This new tableau is $P^{(i)}$. To get $Q^{(i)}$, we add i to $Q^{(i-1)}$ so that $P^{(i)}$ and $Q^{(i)}$ have the same shape. Stop.

- Case 1: Ra is unimodal. Append a to R and let $P^{(i)}$ be this new tableau. To get $Q^{(i)}$, we add i to $Q^{(i-1)}$ so that $P^{(i)}$ and $Q^{(i)}$ have the same shape. Stop.
- Case 2: Ra is not unimodal. Some numbers in the increasing part of R are greater than a. Let b be the smallest number in $R\uparrow$ bigger than or equal to a.
 - Case 2.0: a = 0 and R contains 101 as a subsequence. We leave R unchanged and go to Step 2 with a = 0 and R equal to the next row.
 - Case 2.1.1: $b \neq a$. We put a in b's position and let c = b.
 - Case 2.1.2: b = a. We leave the increasing part R^{\dagger} unchanged and let c = a + 1.

We insert c into the deceasing part $R\downarrow$. Let d be the biggest number in $R\downarrow$ which is smaller than or equal to c. This number always exists because the minimum of a unimodal sequence is in its decreasing part.

- Case 2.1.3: $d \neq c$. We put c in d's place and let a' = d.
- Case 2.1.4: d = c. We leave $R \downarrow$ unchanged and let a' = c 1.

Step 3: Repeat step 2 with a = a' and R equal to the next row.

In the Kraśkiewicz insertion, there is an analogue of Knuth relations [13, Section 3.6] on the reduced words of R(w) which we call the *B*-Coxeter-Knuth relations.

Definition 2.2 (B-Coxeter-Knuth relations) Let $a, b \in R(w)$. We say they are B-Coxeter-Knuth related if they are in the same equivalence class generated by the following (a < b < c < d):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0101 & \sim & 1010 \\ ab(b+1)b & \sim & a(b+1)b(b+1) \\ ba(b+1)b & \sim & b(b+1)ab \\ a(a+1)ba & \sim & a(a+1)ab & a+1 < b \\ (a+1)ab(a+1) & \sim & (a+1)ba(a+1) & a+1 < b \\ abdc & \sim & adbc \\ acdb & \sim & acbd \\ adcb & \sim & dacb \\ badc & \sim & bdac \end{array}$$

and their reverses. We denote this as $a \sim b$.

The reverse of a word, $a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$ is defined to be $a^r = a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_2 a_1$. These relations are a refinement of the Coxeter relations for B_n . This set of relations also appeared in [6]. There, they were obtained by considering promotion sequences.

The properties of the Kraśkiewicz insertion parallel that of the Edelman-Greene insertion. We will state them below.

Theorem 2.3 ([9, Theorem 5.2]) The Kraśkiewicz insertion is a bijection between R(w) and pairs of tableaux (P,Q) where $P \in SDT(w)$ and Q is a standard shifted Young tableau.

Theorem 2.4 Let $a, b \in R(w)$. They have the same insertion tableaux iff $a \sim b$.

Theorem 2.5 ([9, Lemma 4.8]) Let $a \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$ and λ_1 be the length of the first row of P. Then the length of the longest unimodal subsequence in a is λ_1 .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In order to proof Theorem 1.5, we need to know how a sequence of consecutive terms in a behaves under the Kraśkiewicz insertion.

Theorem 3.1 Let $a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \in R(w)$ and $a \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$ under Kraśkiewicz insertion. If the subsequence $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_l$ of a is unimodal, then in Q the boxes with the entries $j, j+1, \cdots, l$ form a rim hook. Moreover, the way the entries appear in the rim hooks is as follows:

- 1. the entries $j, j+1, \dots, k$ form a vertical strip where k is the entry in the leftmost and lowest box of the rim hook
- 2. these entries are increasing down the vertical strip
- 3. the entries $k + 1, \dots, l 1, l$ form a horizontal strip
- 4. these entries are increasing from left to right

Theorem 3.2 Let $a \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$. Let the boxes with entries $j, j + 1, \dots, l$ form a rim hook in Q satisfying the conditions listed in the previous theorem. Then the corresponding subsequence $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_l$ is a unimodal sequence.

These two theorems can be proved by a tedious process of checking all the possible cases of the insertion.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: We will show that

$$2^{l_{\mathsf{o}}(w)}G_{w}(x) = \sum_{R \in \mathsf{SDT}(w)} Q_{\mathsf{sh}(R)}(x)$$

since $Q_{\lambda} = 2^{l(\lambda)}P_{\lambda}$. Fix $w \in B_n$ and let m = l(w). To achieve this, we generalize the idea of *a*-compatible sequence to include sequences with barred and unbarred numbers. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ be a sequence where $f_j \in \{\overline{1}, 1, \overline{2}, 2, \dots\}$. This is called a *generalized* sequence. We give the barred and unbarred numbers the linear order

$$\overline{1} < 1 < \overline{2} < 2 < \cdots$$

We say that f is *a*-compatible if

- 1. $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \cdots \leq f_m$
- 2. $f_i = f_{i+1} = \cdots = f_k = \overline{l}$ occurs only when $a_j > a_{j+1} > \cdots > a_k$
- 3. $f_j = f_{j+1} = \cdots = f_k = l$ occurs only when $a_j < a_{j+1} < \cdots < a_k$

Let K'(a) be the set of all *a*-compatible generalized sequences. In what follows, *i* will always be a sequence of unbarred numbers and *f* will denote a generalized sequence. Also, we will use $|f_j|$ to mean

$$|f_j| = l$$
 if $f_j = l$ or $f_j = l$.

and

$$|f| = (|f_1|, |f_2|, \cdots, |f_m|)$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$2^{l_0(w)}G_w(x) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}\in R(w)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{f}\in K^i(\boldsymbol{a})} x_{|f_1|} x_{|f_2|} \cdots x_{|f_m|}$$

Now, we will exhibit a bijection Φ from $\{(a, f) : a \in R(w), f \in K'(a)\}$ to $\{(P, T) : P \in SDT(w), T \in Q$ -semistandard Young tableau such that $sh(T) = sh(P)\}$.

Step 1: Apply Kraśkiewicz insertion to a. Let $a \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$. This P is the standard decomposition tableau we want.

Step 2: Take a Young diagram of the same shape as Q. We fill each box with $|f_j|$ when the corresponding box in Q has the entry j. Then the new tableau is weakly increasing along the columns and rows because

$$j < k \implies |f_j| \le |f_k|$$

Step 3: For each constant subsequence, $f_j f_{j+1} \cdots f_k$ such that $|f_j| = |f_{j+1}| = \cdots = |f_k| = l$ with $|f_{j-1}| < |f_j|$ and $|f_k| < |f_{k+1}|$, we know that $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$ is unimodal. Let a_h be the smallest number in it. Furthermore, from Theorem 3.1 the entries $j, j+1, \cdots k$ form a rim hook in Q. Let g be the entry of the box in the lowest row and leftmost column among all the boxes in these rim hooks.

1. If f_h is unbarred, we add a bar to all the new entries from f_j to f_{g-1} .

2. If f_h is barred, we add a bar to all the new entries from f_j to f_g .

This will give us a Young tableau with barred and unbarred numbers. This will be our T. Clearly, sh(T) = sh(Q) = sh(P). It can be verified that T is a Q-semistandard Young tableau.

Now for the inverse map. Given (P,T), we first construct Q.

Step 1: Take a Young diagram of the same shape as T. We fill all the boxes with distinct numbers $1, 2, \dots m$ as follows:

- 1. The entries in the Young diagram preserve the order of the entries in T.
- 2. For all the boxes in T with the same barred number, these form a vertical strip and we fill the corresponding boxes in an increasing order from top to bottom.
- 3. For all the boxes in T with the same unbarred number, these form a horizontal strip and we fill the corresponding boxes in an increasing order from left to right.

This will be our Q. It is clearly a standard shifted Young tableau with the same shape as P.

Step 2: a is obtained from (P, Q) by the inverse Kraśkiewicz insertion. This is the reduced word that we want.

Step 3: To get f, remove all the bars in T and let $i = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m$ be the content of this new tableau laid out in weakly increasing order. Fix a number l. We know that in T all the boxes with the entry \overline{l} or l form a rim hook in T. The corresponding boxes in Q are filled with consecutive numbers $j, j + 1, \dots, k$. Also, they satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 3.2. Hence, $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$ is a unimodal sequence with smallest number a_h . Now, let (I, J) be the coordinates of the lowest and leftmost boxes in the rim hook which has entry \overline{l} or l.

1. If (I, J) has the entry \overline{l} , then we add bars to i_j, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_h .

2. If (I, J) has the entry l, we add bars to $i_j, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_{h-1}$.

This generalized sequence will be our f. By construction, it is *a*-compatible and $(a, f) = \Phi^{-1}(P, T)$. Hence, if we look at the associated monomials for generalized sequence and the *Q*-semistandard Young tableau, we see that they have to be equal. This gives

$$2^{l_0(w)}G_w(x) = \sum_{a \in R(w)} \sum_{f \in K'(a)} x_{|f_1|} x_{|f_2|} \cdots x_{|f_m|}$$
$$= \sum_{P \in SDT(w)} \sum_{\mathfrak{sh}(T) = \mathfrak{sh}(P)} x^T$$
$$= \sum_{P \in SDT(w)} Q_{\mathfrak{sh}(P)}(x)$$

Therefore

$$G_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{R} \in \text{SDT}(\boldsymbol{w})} 2^{l(\boldsymbol{R}) - l_0(\boldsymbol{w})} P_{\mathfrak{sh}(\boldsymbol{R})}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

-320-

Note that $l(R) > l_0(w)$ since every row of R can have at most one 0. This shows that G_w is a nonnegative integer linear combination of Schur P-functions.

Example: $SDT(\overline{3}21)$ contains two standard decomposition tableaux,



Therefore,

$$G_{\bar{3}21}(\boldsymbol{x}) = P_{(4)}(\boldsymbol{x}) + 2P_{(3,1)}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

4 Properties

In this section, we will describe some properties of Kraskiewicz insertion and how they relate to G_w .

1. Using Theorem 2.5, we are able to prove a result conjectured by Stembridge([15]).

Theorem 4.1 Let $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} \bar{n}$ and $v = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} n$. Then there is a bijection between SDT(w) and SDT(v) given by removing the top row of any $P \in SDT(w)$. Therefore,

$$G_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{R \in \text{SDT}(\boldsymbol{v})} 2^{l(R) - l_0(\boldsymbol{v})} P_{(2n-1, \text{sh}(R))}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

where $(2n - 1, \lambda) = (2n - 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots).$

In particular, $G_{w_B}(x) = P_{(2n-1,2n-3,\cdots,3,1)}(x)$ where $w_B = \overline{1}\overline{2}\cdots\overline{n}$ is the signed permutation of longest length in B_n .

2. Focusing on the insertion tableau of the Kraśkiewicz insertion, we managed to show:

Theorem 4.2 Let P be a standard decomposition tableau and let $P \Downarrow$ be the tableau that is obtained when we delete the increasing parts of each row of P. Then, $P \Downarrow$ is a shifted tableau which is strictly decreasing in each row and in each top-left to bottom-right diagonal.

3. Using the above, we are able to get an analogous result on the length of the longest decreasing subsequence in a.

Theorem 4.3 Let $a \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$. Then the longest strictly decreasing subsequence in a has length equal to the length of the decreasing part of the first row.

Theorem 4.4 Let $w = \bar{n}w_2w_3\cdots w_n$ and $v = w_2w_3\cdots w_nn$ be 2 elements of B_n . Also, let $SDT_n(w) = \{P \in SDT(w) : |P_1| = n\}$. Then, there exists an injection Φ from $SDT_n(w)$ into SDT(v). Furthermore, if $\forall a \in R(v)$, the length of the longest unimodal subsequence in a is strictly less than n, then Φ is a bijection.

Using this, we are able to prove a result of Billey and Haiman that claims that every Schur *P*-function can be expressed as a B_n Stanley symmetric function. Here, \hat{k} means omitting k.

Corollary 4.5 ([1, Proposition 3.14]) Let $w = \overline{\lambda}_1 \overline{\lambda}_2 \cdots \overline{\lambda}_l 123 \cdots \widehat{\lambda}_l \cdots \widehat{\lambda}_{l-1} \cdots \cdots \widehat{\lambda}_1 \cdots$ where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ is a shifted shape. Then,

$$G_w(\boldsymbol{x}) = P_\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})$$

-321-

4. We are also to give a combinatorial proof of the following:

Theorem 4.6 ([4, Corollary 8.1], [15]) Let $w \in S_n$, then

$$G_w(\boldsymbol{x}) = F_w(\boldsymbol{x}/\boldsymbol{x})$$

where $F_w(x)$ is the S_n Stanley symmetric function of w described in [5] and the right-handside is the superfication of that function.

This shows a connection between the Edelman-Greene insertion and the Kraśkiewicz insertion. Another connection is given by:

Theorem 4.7 Let $a \in S_n$. Suppose

 $a \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$

and let

 $a \stackrel{EG}{\rightarrow} (R,S)$

denote the Edelman-Greene insertion of a. Then S is shifted jeu de taquin equivalent to Q.

For more information on the Edelman-Greene insertion, please refer to [3]. The shifted jeu de taquin operation is a shifted analogue of jeu de taquin. A description can be found in [16], [12] and [6].

5. Another interesting result is that the Edelman-Greene insertion manifests itself as a special case of the Kraśkiewicz insertion. Let U be the unique standard decomposition tableau of $\overline{n} \cdots \overline{2}\overline{1}$. It has shape $(n, n-1, \cdots, 2, 1)$ and the *i*th row is $U_i = n - i \ n - i - 1 \cdots 1 \ 0$.

Theorem 4.8 Let $a \in S_n$. Then the Kraśkiewicz insertion of a into U is the same as the Edelman-Greene insertion of a in the following sense:

If we ignore U, then

- (a) the recording tableau of the Kraśkiewicz insertion is the same as the recording tableau of the Edelman-Greene insertion, and
- (b) the insertion tableau of the Kraśkiewicz insertion is just the insertion tableau of the Edelman-Greene insertion with i - 1 subtracted from each box in the ith row.

Using this observation, we manage to prove a conjecture of Stembridge[15]. Let $SDT_S(w)$ denote the set of Edelman-Greene insertion tableaux for the reduced words of w(see [3]) and let $w_S = n \cdots 21$ be the permutation of longest length in S_n .

Theorem 4.9 Let $w \in S_n$. Denote \bar{w} as the element of B_n obtained from w by putting a bar over all w_i . There exists a bijection Φ from $SDT_S(w_Sw)$ to $SDT(\bar{w})$. Furthermore,

$$G_{\bar{w}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\bar{P} \in \text{SDT}_{S}(w_{S}w)} P_{\delta_{n} + \text{sh}(\bar{P})}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

where $\delta_n = (n, n - 1, \dots, 2, 1)$.

6. Most of the previous results come from properties of the insertion tableau of the Kraśkiewicz insertion. The next few come from properties of the recording tableau.

Theorem 4.10 Let $a_1a_2\cdots a_m \xrightarrow{K} (P,Q)$ then

$$a_2 \cdots a_m \xrightarrow{K} (R, \Delta(Q))$$
 (1)

 $a_m \cdots a_2 a_1 \xrightarrow{K} (S, \operatorname{ev}(Q))$ (2)

-322-

Using the language in [7], $\Delta(Q)$ is the standard shifted Young tableau that is obtained by subtracting 1 from every box in $Q(1 \rightarrow \infty)$. A description of evacuation operation ev(Q) can be found in [7, Definition 8.1].

7. In [6], Haiman described the short promotion sequence which gives a bijection from the set of standard shifted Young tableau of shape $(2n - 1, 2n - 3, \dots, 3, 1)$ to $R(w_B)$, the set of reduced words of the longest element in B_n . Using (1), we are able to show:

Theorem 4.11 Let $a \in R(w_B)$ and $a \stackrel{K}{\longrightarrow} (P,Q)$. Define $\Psi(a) = Q$. Then Ψ is the inverse of \dot{p} .

8. We also attempted to answer the question as to when SDT(w) contains only one element. This corresponds to finding conditions as to when $G_w(x) = 2^{l(\lambda) - l_0(w)} P_{\lambda}(x)$ where λ is the shape of the single standard decomposition tableau of w. We were able to get the following:

Theorem 4.12

If $w \in S_n$,

- (a) SDT(w) contains only 1 element iff w is obtained by taking 2 consecutive segments in $12 \cdots n$ and switching them.
- (b) $SDT(\bar{w})$ contains only 1 element iff w is 3412-avoiding
- If $w \in B_n$ is such that $w_i = i$ or -i, then SDT(w) contains 1 element.

There are a number of open problems in this area that we would like to explore.

- 1. Is there a theory of shifted balanced tableaux?
- 2. Is there an analogue of the jeu de taquin here?
- 3. What are the conditions for $2^{l_0(w)}G_w = Q_{\lambda/\mu}$?
- 4. Extend this theory to D_n .

We will end this abstract by remarking that the last problem is being worked on and there are some preliminary results.

References

- [1] S. Billey, M. Haiman, "Schubert Polynomials for the Classical Groups", in preparation.
- [2] S. Billey, W. Jockusch and R. P. Stanley, "Some Combinatorial Properties of Schubert Polynomials", Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, 2, 345-374, 1993.
- [3] P. Edelman and C. Greene, "Balanced Tableau", Advances in Math., 63, (1987), 42-99.
- [4] S. Fomin and A. Kirillov, "Combinatorial B_n-analogues of Schubert polynomials", manuscript.
- [5] S. Fomin and R. P. Stanley, "Schubert polynomials and the nilCoxeter algebra", Advances in Math., to appear, see also Report No. 18 (1991/92), Institut Mittag-Leffler, 1992.
- [6] M. Haiman, "Dual Equivalence with applications, including a conjecture of Procter", Discrete Mathematics, 99, (1992), 79-113.
- [7] M. Haiman, "On Mixed Insertion, Symmetry, and Shifted Young Tableaux", Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 50, 196-225, 1989.
- [8] J. Humphreys, Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

- [9] W. Kraśkiewicz, "Reduced decompositions in hyperoctahedral groups", C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I, 309, (1989), 903-907.
- [10] I. MacDonald, Hall Polynomials and Symmetric functions, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979
- [11] I. MacDonald, Notes on Schubert Polynomials, Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique(LACIM), Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, 1991.
- [12] B. E. Sagan, "Shifted Tableaux, Schur Q-functions and a conjecture of R. Stanley", Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 45, 62-103, 1987.
- [13] B. E. Sagan, The Symmetric Group, Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions, Wadsworth, 1991.
- [14] R. P. Stanley, "On the Number of Reduced decompositions of Elements of Coxeter Groups", Europ. J. Combinatorics, 5, 359-372, 1984.

[15] J. Stembridge, Private Communication.

[16] D. Worley, A Theory of Shifted Young Tableaux, MIT Thesis, 1984.