# $B_{n}$ Stanley Symmetric Functions 

Extended Abstract

T. K. Lam

March 22, 1994

Our main objective is to study the $B_{n}$ Stanley symmetric functions, $G_{w}$. This has been independently investigated by Fomin and Kirillov[4] and by Stembridge[15]. It is the analogue of the Stanley symmetric functions for the symmetric group. This symmetric function also appeared in [1] in the search for an analogue of the Schubert polynomials in other classical groups. First, we will define the $B_{n}$ Stanley symmetric functions using the nilCoxeter algebra. From here, it can be shown that it is symmetric and can be written as an integer combination of Schur $P$-functions. Using the Kraskiewicz insertion first described in [9], we can show that they are actually nonnegative integer combinations of the Schur $P$-functions.(Theorem 1.5) We will described the Kraskiewicz insertion and the B-Coxeter-Knuth relations. These are all analogues of the Edelman-Greene insertion. Next, looking into other properties of the Kraskiewicz insertion, we are able to give some nice descriptions for $G_{\boldsymbol{w}}$.

L'objet principal de cet article est d'étudier les fonctions symétriques de Stanley pour $B_{n}$, notées $G_{w}$. Celles-ci furent étudiées indépendamment par Fomin et Kirillov[4] et par Stembridge[15]. Ces fonctions symétriques ont paru également dans [1] ou l'on recherche un analogue des polynômes de Schubert dans le cas d'autres groupes classiques. On commence en définissant les fonctions symétriques de Stanley pour $B_{n}$ en employant l'algèbre nilCoxeter. Par la suite on peut démontrer qu'elles sont en effet symétriques et qu'elles peuvent être exprimées en combinaisons linéaires de fonctions $P$-Schur à coefficients entiers. En utilisant l'algorithme d'insertion de Kraskiewicz [9], on peut montrer que les coefficients sont en fait non négatifs (Théorème 1.5). On décrit l'algorithme d'insertion de Ǩraśkiewicz et les relations B-Coxeter-Knuth. Ceux-ci sont tous des analogues de l'algorithme d'insertion d'Edelman et Greene. Ensuite, en considérant d'autres propriétés de l'algorithme d'insertion de Kraskiewicz, on parvient à certaines jolies descriptions des fonctions $G_{w}$.

## 1 The Hyperoctahedral Group and the nilCoxeter algebra

We will spend some time on giving definitions and notations here. First, some basic facts about the hyperoctahedral group, $B_{n}$. The main reference used is [8]. $B_{n}$ is the Weyl group corresponding to the root system, $B_{n}$. We represent an element of $B_{n}$ as a signed permutation and write it down in 1-line notation. The simple reflections are denoted by $s_{i}, i=0,1, \cdots n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=12 \cdots n \\
& s_{i}=12 \cdots i-1 i+1 i i+2 \cdots n \text { for } 1 \leq i<n
\end{aligned}
$$

Every element $w \in B_{n}$ can be expressed as a product of $s_{i}$ 's since the simple reflections generate the group. Any such expression of shortest length is called a reduced word and its length denoted by $l(w)$. The collection of reduced words of $w$ is denoted by $R(w)$. When we write a reduced word, we will often only write the subscripts of the simple reflections. So, $s_{3} s_{2} s_{1} s_{0} s_{3} s_{2} s_{3}$ is written simply as 3210323 . It is a reduced word for the signed permutation $\overline{4} 321$. When we multiply $s_{i}$ 's, we do it from the right. Under this convention, a simple reflection $s_{i}$ acts on 1 -line notation by switching the numbers in positions $i$ and $i+1$ if $i \neq 0$ and changing the sign of the first number if $i=0$.

Following the presentation in [4], we define the nilCoxeter algebra for $B_{n}$.

Definition 1.1 Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the non-commutative algebra generated by $u_{0}, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ under the relations:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u_{i}^{2} & =0 & i \geq 0 \\
u_{i} u_{j} & =u_{j} u_{i} & |i-j|>1 \\
u_{i} u_{i+1} u_{i} & =u_{i+1} u_{i} u_{i+1} & i>0 \\
u_{0} u_{1} u_{0} u_{1} & =u_{1} u_{0} u_{1} u_{0} &
\end{array}
$$

The nilCoxeter algebra has a vector space basis of signed permutations of $B_{\boldsymbol{n}}$. This is because the last 3 relations listed above are the Coxeter relations for $B_{n}$ which are satisfied by the simple reflections $s_{1}$.

Definition 1.2 Define in the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{B}_{n}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \cdots\right]$

$$
B\left(x_{i}\right)=\left(1+x_{i} u_{n-1}\right)\left(1+x_{i} u_{n-2}\right) \cdots\left(1+x_{i} u_{1}\right)\left(1+x_{i} u_{0}\right)\left(1+x_{i} u_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1+x_{i} u_{n-2}\right)\left(1+x_{i} u_{n-1}\right)
$$

Consider the expansion of the following formal power series in terms of the basis of signed permutations.

$$
B\left(x_{1}\right) B\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots=\sum_{w \in B_{n}} G_{w}(x) w
$$

The $G_{w}(x)=G_{w}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right)$ are called the $B_{n}$ Stanley symmetric functions.
It can be shown from this definition that the $G_{w}$ 's are symmetric functions [4, Proposition 4.2]. Moreover, if we replace $x_{1}$ by $-x_{2}$, we get

$$
G_{w}\left(-x_{2}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \cdots\right)=G_{w}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, \cdots\right)
$$

This shows that they can be expressed in terms of Schur $P$-functions with integer coefficients. (See [10]. [12] and [16] for a definition of Schur $P$-functions and other properties).

To show that these coefficients are nonnegative integers, we use an alternative description of $G_{u}$ involving compatible sequences.

Definition 1.3 Let $a=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \in R(w)$. We call a sequence of nonnegative integers $i=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}\right)$ an $a$-compatible sequence if

1. $i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{m}$
2. $i_{j}=i_{j+1}=\cdots=i_{k}$ occurs only when $a_{j}, a_{j+1}, \cdots, a_{k}$ is a untmodal sequence

Denote the set of a-compatible sequence as $K(\boldsymbol{a})$.
Let $l_{0}(w)$ denote the number of bars in the 1 -line notation of $w$. Note that the number of 0 s in any reduced word of $w$ is equal to $l_{0}(w)$. A simple observation gives:

Theorem 1.4 ([4, Equation (6.3)])

$$
G_{w}(x)=\sum_{a \in R(w)} \sum_{i \in K(a)} 2^{l(i)-l_{0}(w)} x_{i,} x_{i,} \cdots x_{i m}
$$

where $l(i)$ is the number of distinct integers in $i$.
This is an analogue of [14, Equation (1)].
Theorem 1.5 For all $w \in B_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{w}(x)= & \sum_{R \in \operatorname{SDT}(w)} 2^{l(R)-l_{0}(w)} P_{\operatorname{sh}(R)}(x) \\
\text { ection 3. } & \text { shandwand doconydisílion Radom }
\end{aligned}
$$

1) $\pi_{R}=R_{n} R_{n \cdot 1} \ldots R_{1}$ in a readied wad for $w$.
2) $R_{i}$ is Nhimodal


## 2 Kraśkiewicz Insertion

In this section, we will present Kraskiewicz insertion. The presentation is different from that in [9]. Firstly, we have used $s_{0}$ as the special reflection instead of $s_{n}$. So, the numbers that are used in a reduced word for $B_{n}$ will range from 0 to $n-1$. Secondly, our unimodal sequence will be a sequence of numbers that are initially strictly decreasing, then strictly increasing; that is

$$
a=a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{k}<a_{k+1}<\cdots<a_{l}
$$

The decreasing part of $a$ is defined to include the minimum, that is $a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{k}$ and the increasing part is $a_{k+1}<a_{k+2}<\cdots<a_{l}$. We denote them by $a \downarrow$ and $a \dagger$ respectively.

For example, 21056 is a unimodal sequence with decreasing part 210 and increasing part 56. 2489 is unimodal with decreasing part 2 and increasing part 489 . Note that a unimodal sequence always has a decreasing part.

A shifted Young diagram of shape $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right), \lambda_{i}>\lambda_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i<l$ is an arrangement of boxes such that the first row has length $\lambda_{1}$, the second row has length $\lambda_{2}$ and so on. However, each succeeding row is indented 1 box to the right. A shifted Young tableau $P$ is a shifted Young diagram with the boxes filled in with numbers and we denote the ith row by $P_{i}$. Its reading word $\pi_{P}$ is defined to be $P_{l} P_{l-1} \cdots P_{2} P_{1}$ where $P_{i}$ is treated as a sequence of numbers. For the rest of this text, we will sometimes treat a row of a tableau as a sequence of numbers. For example,

is a shifted Young tableau of shape $(5,3)$ and reading word 4235412.
Definition 2.1 Let $P$ be a shifted Young tableau with l rows such that

1. $\pi_{P}=P_{l} P_{l-1} \cdots P_{2} P_{1}$ is a reduced word of $w$
2. $P_{i}$ is a unimodal subsequence of maximum length in $P_{l} P_{l-1} \cdots P_{i+1} P_{i}$

Then, $P$ is called a standard decomposition tableau of $w$. and we denote the set of such tableaux by SDT $(w)$.
The previous example is a standard decomposition tableau of the permutation 351624.
Let $w \in B_{n}$ and $a=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \in R(w)$. The Kraśkiewicz insertion algorithm will give a map

$$
a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)
$$

where $P$ is called the insertion tableau and $Q$ is called the recording tableau. We will have to first construct a sequence of pairs of tableaux

$$
(\emptyset, \emptyset)=\left(P^{(0)}, Q^{(0)}\right),\left(P^{(1)}, Q^{(1)}\right), \cdots,\left(P^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}\right)=(P, Q)
$$

$\operatorname{sh}\left(P^{(i)}\right)=\operatorname{sh}\left(Q^{(i)}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, m$. Each tableau $P^{(i)}$ is obtained by inserting $a_{i}$ into $P^{(i-1)}$.

## Insertion Algorithm:

Input: $a_{i}$ and $\left(P^{(i-1)}, Q^{(i-1)}\right)$. Output: $\left(P^{(i)}, Q^{(i)}\right)$.
Step 1: Let $a=a_{i}$ and $R=1$ st row of $P^{(i-1)}$.
Step 2: Insert $a$ into $R$ as follows:

- Case $0: R=\emptyset$. If this empty row is the $k$ th row, we write $a$ indented $k-1$ boxes away from the left margin. This new tableau is $P^{(i)}$. To get $Q^{(i)}$, we add $i$ to $Q^{(i-1)}$ so that $P^{(i)}$ and $Q^{(i)}$ have the same shape. Stop.
- Case 1: Ra is unimodal. Append $a$ to $R$ and let $P^{(i)}$ be this new tableau. To get $Q^{(1)}$, we add $i$ to $Q^{(i-1)}$ so that $P^{(i)}$ and $Q^{(i)}$ have the same shape. Stop.
- Case 2: $R a$ is not unimodal. Some numbers in the increasing part of $R$ are greater than $a$. Let $b$ be the smallest number in $R \uparrow$ bigger than or equal to $a$.
- Case 2.0: $a=0$ and $R$ contains 101 as a subsequence. We leave $R$ unchanged and go to Step 2 with $a=0$ and $R$ equal to the next row.
- Case 2.1.1: $b \neq a$. We put $a$ in $b$ 's position and let $c=b$.
- Case 2.1.2: $b=a$. We leave the increasing part $R \upharpoonleft$ unchanged and let $c=a+1$.

We insert $c$ into the deceasing part $R \downarrow$. Let $d$ be the biggest number in $R \downharpoonright$ which is smaller than or equal to $c$. This number always exists because the minimum of a unimodal sequence is in its decreasing part.

- Case 2.1.3: $d \neq c$. We put $c$ in $d^{\prime}$ s place and let $a^{\prime}=d$.
- Case 2.1.4: $d=c$. We leave $R \downarrow$ unchanged and let $a^{\prime}=c-1$.

Step 3: Repeat step 2 with $a=a^{\prime}$ and $R$ equal to the next row.
In the Kraskiewicz insertion, there is an analogue of Knuth relations[13, Section 3.6] on the reduced words of $R(w)$ which we call the B-Coxeter-Knuth relations.

Definition 2.2 (B-Coxeter-Knuth relations) Let $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in R(w)$. We say they are B-Coxeter-Kinuth related if they are in the same equivalence class generated by the following( $a<b<c<d$ ):

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0101 & \sim 1010 & & \\
a b(b+1) b & \sim a(b+1) b(b+1) & \\
b a(b+1) b & \sim b(b+1) a b & \\
a(a+1) b a & \sim a(a+1) a b & a+1<b \\
(a+1) a b(a+1) & \sim(a+1) b a(a+1) a+1<b \\
a b d c & \sim a d b c & \\
a c d b & \sim a c b d & \\
a d c b & \sim d a c b & & \\
b a d c & \sim b d a c
\end{array}
$$

and their reverses. We denote this as $\boldsymbol{a} \sim \boldsymbol{b}$.
The reverse of a word, $\boldsymbol{a}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m}$ is defined to be $\boldsymbol{a}^{\mathrm{r}}=a_{m} a_{m-1} \cdots a_{2} a_{1}$. These relations are a refinement of the Coxeter relations for $B_{n}$. This set of relations also appeared in [6]. There they were obtained by considering promotion sequences.

The properties of the Kraskiewicz insertion parallel that of the Edelman-Greene insertion. We will state them below.

Theorem 2.3 ([9, Theorem 5.2]) The Kraśkiewicz insertion is a bigection between $R(u)$ and pairs of tableaux $(P, Q)$ where $P \in \operatorname{SDT}(w)$ and $Q$ is a standard shifted Young tableau.

Theorem 2.4 Let $a, b \in R(w)$. They have.the same insertion tableaux iff $a \sim b$.
Theorem 2.5 ([9, Lemma 4.8]) Let $a \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)$ and $\lambda_{1}$ be the length of the first rou of $P$. Then the length of the longest unimodal subsequence in $a$ is $\lambda_{1}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In order to proof Theorem 1.5, we need to know how a sequence of consecutive terms in $a$ behaves under the Kraśkiewicz insertion.

Theorem 3.1 Let $a=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \in R(w)$ and $a \stackrel{K}{\rightarrow}(P, Q)$ under Kraśkiewicz insertion. If the subsequence $a_{j} a_{j+1} \cdots a_{l}$ of $a$ is unimodal, then in $Q$ the boxes with the entries $j, j+1, \cdots, l$ form a rim hook. Moreover, the way the entries appear in the rim hooks is as follows:

1. the entries $j, j+1, \cdots, k$ form a vertical strip where $k$ is the entry in the leftmost and lowest box of the rim hook
2. these entries are increasing down the vertical strip
3. the entries $k+1, \cdots, l-1, l$ form a horizontal strip
4. these entries are increasing from left to right

Theorem 3.2 Let $a \stackrel{K}{\rightarrow}(P, Q)$. Let the boxes with entries $j, j+1, \cdots, l$ form a rim hook in $Q$ satisfying the conditions listed in the previous theorem. Then the corresponding subsequence $a_{j} a_{j+1} \cdots a_{l}$ is a unimodal sequence.

These two theorems can be proved by a tedious process of checking all the possible cases of the insertion.
Proof of Theorem 1.5: We will show that

$$
2^{I_{0}(w)} G_{w}(x)=\sum_{R \in \operatorname{SDT}(w)} Q_{\mathrm{sh}(R)}(x)
$$

since $Q_{\lambda}=2^{l(\lambda)} P_{\lambda}$. Fix $w \in B_{n}$ and let $m=l(w)$. To achieve this, we generalize the idea of $a$-compatible sequence to include sequences with barred and unbarred numbers. Let $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{m}\right)$ be a sequence where $f_{j} \in\{\overline{1}, 1, \overline{2}, 2, \cdots\}$. This is called a generalized sequence. We give the barred and unbarred numbers the linear order

$$
\overline{1}<1<\overline{2}<2<\cdots .
$$

We say that $\boldsymbol{f}$ is $\boldsymbol{a}$-compatible if

1. $f_{1} \leq f_{2} \leq \cdots \leq f_{m}$
2. $f_{j}=f_{j+1}=\cdots=f_{k}=\bar{l}$ occurs only when $a_{j}>a_{j+1}>\cdots>a_{k}$
3. $f_{j}=f_{j+1}=\cdots=f_{k}=l$ occurs only when $a_{j}<a_{j+1}<\cdots<a_{k}$

Let $K^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{a})$ be the set of all $\boldsymbol{a}$-compatible generalized sequences. In what follows, $\boldsymbol{i}$ will always be a sequence of unbarred numbers and $f$ will denote a generalized sequence. Also, we will use $\left|f_{j}\right|$ to mean

$$
\left|f_{j}\right|=l \text { if } f_{j}=\bar{l} \text { or } f_{j}=l
$$

and

$$
|f|=\left(\left|f_{1}\right|,\left|f_{2}\right|, \cdots,\left|f_{m}\right|\right)
$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$
2^{l_{0}(w)} G_{w}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in R(w)} \sum_{f \in K^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{a})} x_{\left|f_{1}\right|} x_{\left|f_{2}\right|} \cdots x_{\left|f_{m}\right|}
$$

Now, we will exhibit a bijection $\Phi$ from $\left\{(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{f}): \boldsymbol{a} \in R(w), f \in K^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{a})\right\}$ to $\{(P, T): P \in \operatorname{SDT}(w), T$ a $Q$-semistandard Young tableau such that $\operatorname{sh}(T)=\operatorname{sh}(P)\}$.

Step 1: Apply Kraskiewicz insertion to a. Let $\boldsymbol{a} \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)$. This $P$ is the standard decomposition tableau we want.

Step 2: Take a Young diagram of the same shape as $Q$. We fill each box with $\left|f_{j}\right|$ when the corresponding box in $Q$ has the entry $j$. Then the new tableau is weakly increasing along the columns and rows because

$$
j<k \Rightarrow\left|f_{j}\right| \leq\left|f_{k}\right|
$$

Step 3: For each constant subsequence, $f_{j} f_{j+1} \cdots f_{k}$ such that $\left|f_{j}\right|=\left|f_{j+1}\right|=\cdots=\left|f_{k}\right|=1$ with $\left|f_{j-1}\right|<\left|f_{j}\right|$ and $\left|f_{k}\right|<\left|f_{k+1}\right|$, we know that $a_{j} a_{j+1} \cdots a_{k}$ is unimodal. Let $a_{h}$ be the smallest number in it. Furthermore, from Theorem 3.1 the entries $j, j+1, \cdots k$ form a rim hook in $Q$. Let $g$ be the entry of the box in the lowest row and leftmost column among all the boxes in these rim hooks.

1. If $f_{h}$ is unbarred, we add a bar to all the new entries from $f_{j}$ to $f_{g-1}$.
2. If $f_{h}$ is barred, we add a bar to all the new entries from $f_{j}$ to $f_{g}$.

This will give us a Young tableau with barred and unbarred numbers. This will be our $T$. Clearly, $\operatorname{sh}(T)=$ $\operatorname{sh}(Q)=\operatorname{sh}(P)$. It can be verified that $T$ is a $Q$-semistandard Young tableau.

Now for the inverse map. Given $(P, T)$, we first construct $Q$.
Step 1: Take a Young diagram of the same shape as $T$. We fill all the boxes with distinct numbers $1,2, \cdots m$ as follows:

1. The entries in the Young diagram preserve the order of the entries in $T$.
2. For all the boxes in $T$ with the same barred number, these form a vertical strip and we fill the corresponding boxes in an increasing order from top to bottom.
3. For all the boxes in $T$ with the same unbarred number, these form a horizontal strip and we fill the corresponding boxes in an increasing order from left to right.

This will be our $Q$. It is clearly a standard shifted Young tableau with the same shape as $P$.
Step 2: $\boldsymbol{a}$ is obtained from $(P, Q)$ by the inverse Kraśkiewicz insertion. This is the reduced word that we want.

Step 3: To get $f$, remove all the bars in $T$ and let $i=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{m}$ be the content of this new tableau laid out in weakly increasing order. Fix a number $l$. We know that in $T$ all the boxes with the entry $l$ or $l$ form a rim hook in $T$. The corresponding boxes in $Q$ are filled with consecutive numbers $j, j+1, \cdots, k$. Also, they satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 3.2. Hence, $a_{j} a_{j+1} \cdots a_{k}$ is a unimodal sequence with smallest number $a_{h}$. Now, let $(I, J)$ be the coordinates of the lowest and leftmost boxes in the rim hook which has entry $l$ or $l$.

1. If $(I, J)$ has the entry $\bar{l}$, then we add bars to $i_{j}, i_{j+1}, \cdots, i_{h}$.
2. If $(I, J)$ has the entry $l$, we add bars to $i_{j}, i_{j+1}, \cdots, i_{h-1}$.

This generalized sequence will be our $f$. By construction, it is $a$-compatible and $(a, f)=\Phi^{-1}(P, T)$. Hence, if we look at the associated monomials for generalized sequence and the $Q$-semistandard Young tableau, we see that they have to be equal. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{l_{0}(w)} G_{w}(x) & =\sum_{a \in R(w)} \sum_{f \in K^{\prime}(a)} x_{\left|f_{1}\right| x_{\left|f_{2}\right|} \cdots x_{\left|f_{m}\right|}} \\
& =\sum_{P \in \operatorname{SDT}(w) \operatorname{sh}(T)=\operatorname{sh}(P)} x^{T} \\
& =\sum_{P \in \operatorname{SDT}(w)} Q_{\mathrm{sh}(P)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
G_{w}(x)=\sum_{R \in \operatorname{SDT}(w)} 2^{l(R)-l_{0}(w)} P_{\mathrm{sh}(R)}(x)
$$

Note that $l(R)>l_{0}(w)$ since every row of $R$ can have at most one 0 . This shows that $G_{w}$ is a nonnegative integer linear combination of Schur $P$-functions.
Example: SDT( $\overline{3} 21)$ contains two standard decomposition tableaux,


Therefore,

$$
G_{\overline{3} 21}(x)=P_{(4)}(x)+2 P_{(3,1)}(x)
$$

## 4 Properties

In this section, we will describe some properties of Kraskiewicz insertion and how they relate to $G_{w}$.

1. Using Theorem 2.5, we are able to prove a result conjectured by Stembridge([15]).

Theorem 4.1 Let $w=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n-1} \bar{n}$ and $v=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n-1} n$. Then there is a bijection between $\mathrm{SDT}(w)$ and $\operatorname{SDT}(v)$ given by removing the top row of any $P \in \operatorname{SDT}(w)$. Therefore,

$$
G_{w}(x)=\sum_{R \in \operatorname{SDT}(v)} 2^{l(R)-1_{0}(v)} P_{(2 n-1, \operatorname{sh}(R))}(x)
$$

where $(2 n-1, \lambda)=\left(2 n-1, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots\right)$.
In particular, $G_{w_{B}}(x)=P_{(2 n-1,2 n-3, \cdots, 3,1)}(x)$ where $w_{B}=\overline{1} \overline{2} \cdots \bar{n}$ is the signed permutation of longest length in $B_{n}$.
2. Focusing on the insertion tableau of the Kraskiewicz insertion, we managed to show:

Theorem 4.2 Let $P$ be a standard decomposition tableau and let $P \Downarrow$ be the tableau that is obtained when we delete the increasing parts of each row of $P$. Then, $P \Downarrow$ is a shifted tableau which is strictly decreasing in each row and in each top-left to bottom-right diagonal.
3. Using the above, we are able to get an analogous result on the length of the longest decreasing subsequence in $\boldsymbol{a}$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $\boldsymbol{a} \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)$. Then the longest strictly decreasing subsequence in $\boldsymbol{a}$ has length equal to the length of the decreasing part of the first row.

Theorem 4.4 Let $w=\bar{n} w_{2} w_{3} \cdots w_{n}$ and $v=w_{2} w_{3} \cdots w_{n} n$ be 2 elements of $B_{n}$. Also, let $\operatorname{SDT}_{n}(w)=$ $\left\{P \in \operatorname{SDT}(w):\left|P_{1}\right|=n\right\}$. Then, there exists an injection $\Phi$ from $\operatorname{SDT}_{n}(w)$ into $\operatorname{SDT}(v)$. Furthermore, if $\forall a \in R(v)$, the length of the longest unimodal subsequence in $a$ is strictly less than $n$, then $\Phi$ is a bijection.

Using this, we are able to prove a result of Billey and Haiman that claims that every Schur $P$-function can be expressed as a $B_{n}$ Stanley symmetric function. Here, $\widehat{k}$ means omitting $k$.

Corollary 4.5 ([1, Proposition 3.14])
Let $w=\bar{\lambda}_{1} \bar{\lambda}_{2} \cdots \bar{\lambda}_{1} 123 \cdots \hat{\lambda}_{1} \cdots \hat{\lambda}_{1-1} \cdots \cdots \hat{\lambda}_{1} \cdots$ where $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ is a shifted shape. Then,

$$
G_{w}(x)=P_{\lambda}(x)
$$

4. We are also to give a combinatorial proof of the following:

Theorem 4.6 ([4, Corollary 8.1],[15]) Let $w \in S_{n}$, then

$$
G_{w}(x)=F_{w}(x / x)
$$

where $F_{w}(x)$ is the $S_{n}$ Stanley symmetric function of $w$ described in [5] and the roght-handside is the superfication of that function.

This shows a connection between the Edelman-Greene insertion and the Kraskiewicz insertion. Another connection is given by:

Theorem 4.7 Let $a \in S_{n}$. Suppose

$$
\boldsymbol{a} \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)
$$

and let

$$
\boldsymbol{a} \xrightarrow{E G}(R, S)
$$

denote the Edelman-Greene insertion of $a$. Then $S$ is shifted jeu de taqusn equivalent to $Q$.
For more information on the Edelman-Greene insertion, please refer to [3]. The shifted jeu de taquin operation is a shifted analogue of jeu de taquin. A description can be found in [16]. [12] and [6]
5. Another interesting result is that the Edelman-Greene insertion manifests itself as a special case of the Kraśkiewicz insertion. Let $U$ be the unique standard decomposition tableau of $\bar{n} \cdots \overline{2} \overline{1}$. It has shape ( $n, n-1, \cdots, 2,1$ ) and the $i$ th row is $U_{i}=n-i n-i-1 \cdots 10$.

Theorem 4.8 Let $a \in S_{n}$. Then the Kraśkiewicz insertion of $a$ into $U$ is the same as the EdelmanGreene insertion of $a$ in the following sense:

If we ignore $U$, then
(a) the recording tableau of the Kraśkiewicz insertion is the same as the recording tableau of the Edelman-Greene insertion, and
(b) the insertion tableau of the Kraśkiewicz insertion is just the insertion tableau of the EdelmanGreene insertion with $i-1$ subtracted from each box in the ith row.

Using this observation, we manage to prove a conjecture of Stembridge[15]. Let SDT $S_{S}\left(u^{\cdot}\right)$ denote the set of Edelman-Greene insertion tableaux for the reduced words of $w\left(\right.$ see [3]) and let $u_{s}=n \cdots 21$ be the permutation of longest length in $S_{n}$.

Theorem 4.9 Let $w \in S_{n}$. Denote $\bar{w}$ as the element of $B_{n}$ obtained from $w$ by pulting a bar over all $w_{i}$. There exists a bijection $\Phi$ from $\operatorname{SDT}_{S}\left(w_{S} w\right)$ to $\operatorname{SDT}(\bar{w})$. Furthermore,

$$
G_{\bar{w}}(x)=\sum_{\tilde{P} \in \operatorname{SDT}_{s}\left(w_{s} w\right)} P_{\delta_{n}+s h(\dot{P})}(x)
$$

where $\delta_{n}=(n, n-1, \cdots, 2,1)$.
6. Most of the previous results come from properties of the insertion tableau of the Kraskiewicz insertion. The next few come from properties of the recording tableau.

Theorem 4.10 Let $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m} \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)$ then

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
a_{2} \cdots a_{m} & \xrightarrow{K} \quad(R, \Delta(Q)) \\
a_{m} \cdots a_{2} a_{1} & \xrightarrow{K} & (S, \operatorname{ev}(Q)) \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

Using the language in [7], $\Delta(Q)$ is the standard shifted Young tableau that is obtained by subtracting 1 from every box in $Q(1 \rightarrow \infty)$. A description of evacuation operation $\mathrm{ev}(Q)$ can be found in [7, Definition 8.1].
7. In [6], Haiman described the short promotion sequence which gives a bijection from the set of standard shifted Young tableau of shape $(2 n-1,2 n-3, \cdots, 3,1)$ to $R\left(w_{B}\right)$, the set of reduced words of the longest element in $B_{n}$. Using (1), we are able to show:

Theorem 4.11 Let $a \in R\left(w_{B}\right)$ and $a \xrightarrow{K}(P, Q)$. Define $\Psi(a)=Q$. Then $\Psi$ is the inverse of $\dot{p}$.
8. We also attempted to answer the question as to when $\operatorname{SDT}(w)$ contains only one element. This corresponds to finding conditions as to when $G_{w}(x)=2^{l(\lambda)-l_{0}(w)} P_{\lambda}(x)$ where $\lambda$ is the shape of the single standard decomposition tableau of $w$. We were able to get the following:

## Theorem 4.12

If $w \in S_{n}$,
(a) $\operatorname{SDT}(w)$ contains only 1 element iff $w$ is obtained by taking 2 consecutive segments in $12 \cdots n$ and switching them.
(b) $\operatorname{SDT}(\bar{w})$ contains only 1 element iff $w$ is 3412-avoiding

If $w \in B_{n}$ is such that $w_{i}=i$ or $-i$, then $\operatorname{SDT}(w)$ contains 1 element.

There are a number of open problems in this area that we would like to explore.

1. Is there a theory of shifted balanced tableaux?
2. Is there an analogue of the jeu de taquin here?
3. What are the conditions for $2^{I_{0}(\omega)} G_{\omega}=Q_{\lambda / \mu}$ ?
4. Extend this theory to $D_{n}$.

We will end this abstract by remarking that the last problem is being worked on and there are some preliminary results.
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