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#### Abstract

We give explicit formulas for the Kazhdan-Lusztig $P$ - and $R$-polynomials for permutations related to incomplete flags $F_{1, n-1}$.

RÉsumé. Nous donnons des formules explicites pour les $P$ - et $R$-polynômes de KazhdanLusztig pour les permutations rattaché à drapeaux incomplets.


## 1. Introduction

In [KL1] Kazhdan and Lusztig have associated with each Coxeter group $W$ a family of socalled $P$-polynomials indexed by pairs of elements of $W$. These polynomials are determined by the geometry of the corresponding Schubert varieties and play an important role in representation theory. Explicit calculation of $P$-polynomials turned out to be a very hard problem, even for the case $W=S_{n}$. The most advanced result in this direction is a simple combinatorial algorithm for calculation of $P$-polynomials for Grassmann permutations (see [LS]). Several other particular cases are considered in [ Br ].

Another family of polynomials defined in [KL1], so called $R$-polynomials, often helps to calculate $P$-polynomials (see [KL1, De, Br]). These polynomials also have a transparent geometrical interpretation (see [SSV1, Cu]). Their explicit calculation is, in general, a simpler problem than that for $P$-polynomials; nevertheless, one encounters here rather complicated combinatorial problems ([De, SSV2]).

In this note we give explicit formulas for $P$ - and $R$-polynomials for two classes of permutations related to incomplete flags consisting of a line and a hyperplane. This case is not covered by results of [Ze], since the corresponding Schubert varieties do not admit small resolutions of singularities.

Let us denote by $F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ the variety of all flags of type $V^{i_{1}} \subset V^{i_{2}} \subset \cdots \subset V^{i_{k}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$. For brevity, the variety $F_{1,2, \ldots, n}$ of complete flags is denoted by $F_{n}$. There exists a natural

[^0]bundle $F_{n} \rightarrow F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ that just drops redundant subspaces. Evidently, the fiber of this bundle is diffeomorphic to $F_{i_{1}} \times F_{i_{2}-i_{1}} \times \cdots \times F_{n+1-i_{k}}$. Each complete flag defines a decomposition of $F_{i_{1}}, \ldots, i_{k}$ into Schubert cells. This decomposition is consistent with our bundle, i.e. the inverse image of a Schubert cell in $F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ is the union of some Schubert cells in $F_{n}$. It is easy to see that the index set of this union is an interval in the Bruhat order on $S_{n}$. Thus, with each $F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ we associate two sets of permutations, namely, the maximal and the minimal elements of the corresponding intervals. These sets are denoted $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$, respectively.

We are interested in the variety $F_{1, n-1}$; each point of this variety is a flag consisting of a line and a hyperplane. Below we provide explicit expressions for the polynomials $P_{x, y}(q)$ in the cases $y \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n-1}, x$ arbitrary and $y \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n-1}, x$ arbitrary. Besides, we present explicit expressions for the polynomials $R_{x, y}(q)$ in the cases $x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n-1}$ and $x, y \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n-1}$.

## 2. Results

It is easy to see that permutations in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n-1}$ are of the form $(n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1, \ldots, n, \ldots, 3,2)$ while those in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n-1}$ of the form $(2,3, \ldots, 1, \ldots, n, \ldots, n-2, n-1)$. Recall that $P_{x, y}(q) \equiv$ $P_{x^{-1}, y^{-1}}(q)$ (see [Dy]) and $R_{x, y}(q) \equiv R_{x^{-1}, y^{-1}}(q)$. Therefore, it is possible to state all the results in terms of inverse permutations, which seems to us more convenient.
Theorem 1. Let $y=(i, n, n-1, \ldots, 1, j), x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then
(i) $P_{x, y}(q)=1$ for any $x$ if $i<j$;
(ii)

$$
P_{x, y}(q)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j \leqslant x_{1} \text { or } i \geqslant x_{n} \\ 1+q^{i-j} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $y=(i, 1,2, \ldots, n, j), x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then $P_{x, y}(q)=(1+q)^{r}$, where $r$ is the number of solutions of the following equation and two inequalities in $z$ :

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{z} x_{p}=\frac{z(z+1)}{2}, \quad j+1 \leqslant z \leqslant i-2 .
$$

Theorem 3. Let $x=(i, n, n-1, \ldots, 1, j), y=(k, n, n-1, \ldots, 1, l)$. Then:

1. If $k+j<n+1$ or $l+i>n+1$, then $R_{x, y}(q) \equiv 0$.
2. Let $l+i \leqslant n+1 \leqslant k+j$. Denote by $\Sigma_{j, k}$ the segment $[n+1-j, k]$, and by $\Sigma_{l, i}$ the segment $[l, n+1-i]$.
(i) If $\Sigma_{j, k} \cap \Sigma_{l, i}=\varnothing$, then

$$
R_{x, y}(q)=(q-1)^{(k+j)-(l+i)}
$$

(ii) If $\Sigma_{j, k} \cap \Sigma_{l, i} \neq \varnothing$ and at least one of $\Sigma_{j, k}$ and $\Sigma_{l, i}$ degenerates to a point, then

$$
R_{x, y}(q)=(q-1)^{|k+i-n-1|+|l+j-n-1|-1} .
$$

(iii) If $\Sigma_{j, k} \cap \Sigma_{l, i} \neq \varnothing$ and both $\Sigma_{j, k}$ and $\Sigma_{l, i}$ are nondegenerate, then $R_{x, y}(q)=$ $(q-1)^{a}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)^{b}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=|k+i-n-1|+|l+j-n-1|-1, \\
& b=\frac{1}{2}((k+j)-(l+i)-|k+i-n-1|-|l+j-n-1|)-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that Theorem 3 allows to calculate $R$-polynomials for $x=(i, 1,2, \ldots, n, j)$, $y=(k, 1,2, \ldots, n, l)$, since by Lemma 2.1(iv) of [KL1] one has

$$
R_{x, y}(q) \equiv R_{(n+1-k, n, n-1, \ldots, 1, n+1-l),(n+1-i, n, n-1, \ldots, 1, n+1-j)}(q) .
$$

## 3. Sketches of proofs

Theorem 1. It is easy to see that the natural projection $\pi: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{1, n-1}$ has a smooth fiber diffeomorphic to $F_{n-2}$. Let $\sigma$ be a Schubert cycle in $F_{1, n-1}$, and $\delta=\pi^{-1}(\sigma)$. Then the stalk of the IH sheaf on $\delta$ at an arbitrary point $x$ is isomorphic to the stalk of the IH sheaf on $\sigma$ at the point $\pi(x)$. Therefore, by [KL2], in order to find $P$-polynomials we have to calculate the local intersection homology for Schubert cycles in $F_{1, n-1}$. Each Schubert cycle in this variety is either a smooth manifold or an even-dimensional cone. Such a cone may be considered as a suspension of the spherization of the tangent bundle to an odd dimensional sphere. The intersection homology of this object can be computed easily using formula (3.3) from [KL2].

Theorem 2. Below we describe geometrical cycles that yield nontrivial IH classes. Let $y=(i, 1, \ldots, n, j)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1, n-1}$; the corresponding Schubert cycle $V_{y}=\left\{\varphi \in F_{n}\right\}$ is defined by the following relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi^{1} \subseteq f^{i}, \varphi^{2} \supset f^{1}, \varphi^{3} \supset f^{2}, \ldots \\
\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi^{j+1} \cap f^{j+1}\right) \geqslant j, \operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi^{j+2} \cap f^{j+2}\right) \geqslant j+1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi^{i} \cap f^{i}\right)=i, \ldots,
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider an arbitrary point $\theta \in V_{y}$. Let $j+1 \leqslant m_{1}<m_{2}<\cdots<m_{s} \leqslant i-2$ be all the indices such that $\theta^{m_{\alpha}}=f^{m_{\alpha}}, \alpha=1, \ldots, s$. We fix a set of 1-dimensional spaces $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{s}$, $\tau_{\alpha} \in f^{m_{\alpha}}, \tau_{\alpha} \notin f^{m_{\alpha}-1}$. Let $1 \leqslant l_{1}<\cdots<l_{k} \leqslant s$; denote by $X_{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}}$ the subvariety in $V_{y}$ defined by the conditions

$$
X_{l_{1} \ldots l_{k}}=\left\{\varphi \in V_{y}: \tau_{l_{\alpha}} \subset f^{m_{l_{\alpha}}}, \alpha=1, \ldots, k\right\}, \quad \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} X_{l_{1} \ldots l_{k}}=k
$$

These subvarieties form a basis for the stalk of the IH sheaf at $\theta$.
Theorem 3. The proof follows from the general combinatorial procedure of finding $R$-polynomials described in [SSV2].
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