## Distance-Regular Graphs Related to the Quantum Enveloping Algebra of sl(2)

BRIAN CURTIN (Presenting author) (Address before FPSAC'99) Section de Mathématiques Université de Genève 2-4 rue du lièvre, Case Postale 240 CH 1211, Genève 24 curtin@math.unige.ch

(Address after FPSAC'99) Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 curtin@math.berkeley.edu

KAZUMASA NOMURA College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Kohnodai, Ichikawa, 272 Japan nomura@tmd.ac.jp

**Summary.** We present a connection between distance-regular graphs and the quantum enveloping algebra  $U_q(sl(2))$  of the Lie algebra sl(2). Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and valency  $k \geq 3$  which is not isomorphic to the *d*-cube. Fix a vertex x and let  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$  denote the. Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by certain matrices satisfying the defining relations of  $U_q(sl(2))$  for some complex number  $q \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and 2-homogeneous in the sense of Nomura.

Nous présentons une connexion entre des graphes distance-réguliers et l'algèbre enveloppante quantique  $U_q(sl(2))$  de l'algèbre de Lie sl(2). Soit  $\Gamma$  un graphe distance-régulier de diamètre  $d \geq 3$  et de valence  $k \geq 3$  qui n'est pas isomorphe à un hypercube. Soit x un sommet de  $\Gamma$  et soit  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ l'algèbre de Terwilliger de  $\Gamma$ . Alhors  $\mathcal{T}$  est généré par certaines matrices qui satisfait les relations de la définition de  $U_q(sl(2))$  pour un nombre complexe  $q \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$  si et seulement si  $\Gamma$  est bipartite et 2-homogne dans le sens de Nomura.

## Extended abstract.

This poster is based upon [4]. We present a connection between distance-regular graphs and  $U_q(sl(2))$ , the quantum enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra sl(2). It is well known that there is a "natural" sl(2) action on the *d*-cubes (see Proctor [10] or Go [5]). Here we describe the distance-regular graphs with a similar natural  $U_q(sl(2))$  action. We show that these graphs are precisely the bipartite distance-regular graphs which are 2-homogeneous in the sense of [8, 9], excluding the *d*-cubes. To state this precisely, we recall some definitions.

Let U(sl(2)) denote the unital associative C-algebra generated by  $\mathcal{X}^-$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^+$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}$  subject to the relations

$$\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{X}^{-} - \mathcal{X}^{-}\mathcal{Z} = 2\mathcal{X}^{-}, \quad \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{X}^{+} - \mathcal{X}^{+}\mathcal{Z} = -2\mathcal{X}^{+}, \quad \mathcal{X}^{-}\mathcal{X}^{+} - \mathcal{X}^{+}\mathcal{X}^{-} = \mathcal{Z}.$$
 (1)

U(sl(2)) is called the *universal enveloping algebra of* sl(2). For any complex number q satisfying

$$q \neq 1, \qquad q \neq 0, \qquad q \neq -1, \tag{2}$$

let  $U_q(sl(2))$  denote the unital associative C-algebra generated by  $\mathcal{X}^-$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}^{-1}$  subject to the relations

$$\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}^{-1} = \mathcal{Y}^{-1}\mathcal{Y} = 1, \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{X}^{-} = q^{2}\mathcal{X}^{-}\mathcal{Y}, \quad \mathcal{Y}\mathcal{X}^{+} = q^{-2}\mathcal{X}^{+}\mathcal{Y}, \quad \mathcal{X}^{-}\mathcal{X}^{+} - \mathcal{X}^{+}\mathcal{X}^{-} = \frac{\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{Y}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$
 (4)

 $U_q(sl(2))$  is called the quantum enveloping algebra of sl(2). For more on  $U_q(sl(2))$ , see [6, 7].

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a finite, undirected, connected graph without loops or multiple edges and having vertex set X, edge set R, distance function  $\partial$ , and diameter d.  $\Gamma$  is said to be distance-regular whenever for all integers  $\ell$ , i, j  $(0 \le \ell, i, j \le d)$  there exists a scalar  $p_{ij}^{\ell}$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = \ell$ ,  $|\{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i, \partial(y, z) = j\}| = p_{ij}^{\ell}$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular. Set  $c_0 = 0, c_i = p_{1i-1}^i$   $(1 \le i \le d), a_i = p_{1i}^i$   $(0 \le i \le d),$  $b_i = p_{1i+1}^i$   $(0 \le i \le d-1)$ , and  $b_d = 0$ .  $\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k = b_0 = p_{11}^0$ , and  $c_i + a_i + b_i = k$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ .  $\Gamma$  is bipartite precisely when  $a_i = 0$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ . For more on distance-regular graphs, see [1, 2].

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph.  $\Gamma$  is said to be 2-homogeneous whenever for all integers i  $(1 \le i \le d)$  there exists a scalar  $\gamma_i$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ with  $\partial(x, y) = i$ ,  $\partial(x, z) = i$ ,  $\partial(y, z) = 2$ ,  $|\{w \in X \mid \partial(x, w) = i, \partial(y, w) = 1, \partial(z, w) = 1\}| = \gamma_i$ . The *d*-cube is the graph with vertex set  $X = \{0, 1\}^d$  (the *d*-tuples with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ ) such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they differ in precisely one coordinate. The *d*-cube is a 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graph with  $\gamma_i = 1$  $(1 \le i \le d - 1)$ . In the *d*-cube, there is a unique vertex at distance *d* from any given vertex, so the *d*-cube is 2-homogeneous despite the fact that  $\gamma_d$  is not defined. The 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs have been studied in [3, 4, 9, 12].

Let  $Mat_X(\mathbb{C})$  denote the C-algebra of matrices with rows and columns indexed by X. Let  $A \in Mat_X(\mathbb{C})$  denote the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ . For the rest of this section fix  $x \in X$ . For all i  $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ , define  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  to be the diagonal matrix in  $Mat_X(\mathbb{C})$  such that for all  $y \in X$ ,  $E_i^*$  has (y, y)-entry equal to 1 if  $\partial(x, y) = i$ , and 0 otherwise. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$  denote the subalgebra of  $Mat_X(\mathbb{C})$  generated by A,  $E_0^*$ ,  $E_1^*$ , ...,  $E_d^*$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the *Terwilliger algebra of*  $\Gamma$  with respect to x. For more on Terwilliger algebras, see [11]. We set  $L = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*$ ,  $F = \sum_{i=0}^{d} E_i^* A E_i^*$ , and  $R = \sum_{i=1}^{d} E_i^* A E_{i-1}^*$ .

Proctor [10] showed that if  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the *d*-cube, then the matrices  $X^- = L$ ,  $X^+ = R$ , and  $Z = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (d-2i)E_i^*$  satisfy the relations (1) (see also Go [5]). In fact, we may consider matrices of a slightly more general form:

$$X^{-} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} x_{i}^{-} E_{i}^{*} A E_{i+1}^{*}, \qquad X^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}^{+} E_{i}^{*} A E_{i-1}^{*}, \qquad Z = \sum_{i=0}^{d} z_{i} E_{i}^{*}, \quad (5)$$

where  $x_i^-$ ,  $x_i^+$ ,  $z_i$  are arbitrary complex scalars.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and valency  $k \geq 3$ . Fix  $x \in X$ , and write  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ . Let  $X^-$ ,  $X^+$ , and Z be any matrices of the form (5). Then the following are equivalent.

(i)  $X^-$ ,  $X^+$ , and Z generate  $\mathcal{T}$  and satisfy (1).

(ii)  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the d-cube, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^- x_{i+1}^+ &= 1 & (0 \le i \le d-1), \\ z_i &= d-2i & (0 \le i \le d). \end{aligned}$$

While studying  $U_q(sl(2))$  structures, we shall consider matrices of the form:

$$X^{-} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} x_{i}^{-} E_{i}^{*} A E_{i+1}^{*}, \qquad X^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i}^{+} E_{i}^{*} A E_{i-1}^{*}, \qquad Y = \sum_{i=0}^{d} y_{i} E_{i}^{*}, \quad (6)$$

where  $x_i^ (0 \le i \le d-1)$ ,  $x_i^+$   $(1 \le i \le d)$ ,  $y_i$   $(0 \le i \le d)$  are arbitrary complex scalars. Observe that Y is invertible if and only if  $y_i \ne 0$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ , in which case  $Y^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} y_i^{-1} E_i^*$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and valency  $k \geq 3$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not isomorphic to the d-cube. Fix  $x \in X$ , and write  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$   $(0 \leq i \leq d)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ . Let  $X^-$ ,  $X^+$ , and Y be any matrices of the form (6), and let q be any complex number. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) Y is invertible,  $X^-$ ,  $X^+$ , Y,  $Y^{-1}$  generate  $\mathcal{T}$ , and (2)-(4) hold.
- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and 2-homogeneous,  $(q+q^{-1})^2 = c_2^2 b_2^{-1} (k-2)(c_2-1)^{-1}$ , and there exists  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$  such that

$$y_i = \epsilon q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
  
$$x_i^- x_{i+1}^+ = \epsilon q^{-2i+1} (q^d + q^{2i}) (q^d + q^{2i+2}) (q^d + q^2)^{-2} \qquad (0 \le i \le d-1).$$

The factor of  $\epsilon$  appears in (ii) because the defining relations of  $U_q(sl(2))$  are invariant under changing the signs of any two of  $\mathcal{X}^-$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^+$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are similar. The following combinatorial interpretations of L and R allow us to translate the 2-homogeneous and bipartite conditions into algebraic relations in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This is the first step in the proof. For the moment, identify each vertex with its characteristic column vector so that  $Mat_X(\mathbb{C})$  acts by left multiplication. Then for all i $(0 \leq i \leq d)$  and all  $y \in \Gamma_i(x)$ ,  $Ly = \sum w$ , where the sum runs over all  $w \in \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(x)$ ,  $Fy = \sum w$ , where the sum runs over all  $w \in \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_i(x)$ ,  $Ry = \sum w$ , where the sum runs over all  $w \in \Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_{i+1}(x)$ , and  $E_j^* y = \delta_{ij} y$ . Observe that  $\Gamma$  is bipartite if and only if F = 0. Moreover, for all i  $(0 \leq i \leq d)$  and for all  $y, z \in \Gamma_i(x)$   $(LRE_i^*)(y, z) =$  $|\Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_1(z) \cap \Gamma_{i+1}(x)|$   $(RLE_i^*)(y, z) = |\Gamma_1(y) \cap \Gamma_1(z) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(x)|$ . With this observation it is not hard to show that a bipartite distance-regular graph is 2-homogeneous if and only if  $LRE_i^*$ ,  $RLE_i^*$ , and  $E_i^*$  are linearly dependent for all i  $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ .

The next step is to demonstrate a U(sl(2)) structure on the hypercubes and a  $U_q(sl(2))$  structure on the remaining 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs. We compute the precise dependence relation for  $LRE_i^*$ ,  $RLE_i^*$ , and  $E_i^*$ , after which it is easy to verify that there is a U(sl(2)) or  $U_q(sl(2))$  structure. To compute the coefficients of the dependence for the hypercubes, we use the fact that the intersection numbers satisfy  $c_i = i$ ,  $b_i = d - i$  ( $0 \le i \le d$ ) and  $\gamma_i = 1$  ( $1 \le i \le d - 1$ ). In the case of the remaining 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, we use the following result.

**Theorem 3** ([3, Theorem 35]) Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and valency  $k \geq 3$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  is not isomorphic to the d-cube. Then  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and 2-homogeneous if and only if there exists a complex number  $q \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$ such that

$$c_i = e_i [i], \qquad b_i = e_i [d-i] \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$

where  $e_i = q^{i-1}(q^d + q^2)(q^d + q^{2i})^{-1}$  and  $[n] = (q^n - q^{-n})(q - q^{-1})^{-1}$ . Moreover, any such q is real, and

 $\gamma_i = e_2 e_i e_{i+1}^{-1}$   $(1 \le i \le d-1).$ 

Now to prove Theorems 1 and 2 (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) we use a technical lemma which is applicable to both the U(sl(2)) and  $U_q(sl(2))$  structures. Most of the work goes into proving the following result.

**Lemma 4** Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \ge 3$  and valency  $k \ge 3$ . Fix  $x \in X$ , and write  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by  $\{X^-, X^+, E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^*\}$  and  $X^-X^+ - X^+X^- \in \operatorname{span}\{E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^*\}$ , where  $X^-$  and  $X^+$  are as in (5) or (6). Then  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and 2-homogeneous.

Once Lemma 4 is proved, we complete the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) by comparing the previously demonstrated U(sl(2)) or  $U_q(sl(2))$  structure on the 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs to that which is assumed in (i).

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) require two steps. The first is to show that the assumptions of (ii) imply a U(sl(2)) or  $U_q(sl(2))$  structure (according to which theorem we are proving). This is straight forward given the already demonstrated structures. The second step is to show that  $\mathcal{T}$  has the desired generators. First we show that  $E_0^*, E_1^*, \ldots, E_d^*$  are polynomials in Z or Y, according to which case we are in. Then we express R and L, and thus A = R + L, in terms of  $X^-, X^+$ , and the  $E_i^*$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{T}$  has the desired generators and completes the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

**Remark 5** The intersection numbers of 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs are determined in [9] as follows. Excluding the hypercubes, there are three infinite families with  $d \ge 3$  and  $k \ge 3$ . Their intersection arrays  $\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d\}$  are

- (i)  $\{k, k-1, 1; 1, k-1, k\}, k \ge 3.$
- (ii)  $\{4\gamma, 4\gamma 1, 2\gamma, 1; 1, 2\gamma, 4\gamma 1, 4\gamma\}$  for  $\gamma$  a positive integer.
- (iii)  $\{k, k-1, k-\mu, \mu, 1; 1, \mu, k-\mu, k-1, k\}$ , with  $k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1), \mu = \gamma(\gamma + 1)$  for  $\gamma \ge 2$ , an integer.

These arrays are realized by the following graphs: (i) complement of the  $2 \times (k+1)$ -grid; (ii) Hadamard graphs of order  $4\gamma$ ; (iii) antipodal 2-cover of the Higman-Sims graph when  $\gamma = 2$ . No examples of (iii) with  $\gamma \geq 3$  are known.

**Remark 6** We know of a few other distance-regular graphs related to  $U_q(sl(2))$  and U(sl(2)). Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a 2*d*-cycle  $(d \ge 2)$ . Observe that  $\Gamma$  is vacuously 2-homogeneous. Let q be a primitive  $2d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, and set  $X^- = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} [d-i]E_i^*AE_{i+1}^*$ ,  $X^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{d} [i]E_i^*AE_{i-1}^*$ , and  $Y = \sum_{i=0}^{d} q^{d-2i}E_i^*$ . Then  $X^-$ ,  $X^+$ , and Y satisfy (4). However, these matrices do not generate  $\mathcal{T}$ . In addition to the U(sl(2)) structure of Theorem 1, the 4-cycle has the  $U_q(sl(2))$  structure of Theorem 2 whenever  $q^4 \ne 1$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is the Hamming graph  $H(d, n), n \geq 3$ . The results of [11, p. 202] can be used to show that  $X^- = L, X^+ = R$ , and Z = LR - RL satisfy (1). However, these matrices do not generate  $\mathcal{T}$  and Z is not of the form (5).

## References

[1] E. Bannai and T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.

- [2] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [3] B. Curtin, "2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs," Discrete Math. 187 (1998), 39-70.
- [4] B. Curtin and K. Nomura, "Distance-Regular Graphs Related to the Quantum Enveloping Algebra of sl(2)," submitted.
- [5] J. Go, "Hamming cubes," preprint.
- [6] M. Jimbo, "Topics from representations of Uq(g)-an introductory guide to physicists," 1-61; Quantum group and quantum integrable systems, Nankai Lectures Math. Phys., World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [7] C. Kassel, Quantum Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [8] K. Nomura, Homogeneous graphs and regular near polygons, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 60 (1994) 63-71.
- K. Nomura, "Spin models on bipartite distance-regular graphs," J. Combin. Th. (B) 64 (1995), 300-313.
- [10] R. A. Proctor, "Representations of sl(2, C) on posets and the Sperner property," SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods 3 (1982), no. 2, 275-280.
- [11] P. Terwilliger, "The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme," J. Algebraic Combin. 1 (1992), 363-388; 2 (1993), 73-103; 2 (1993), 177-210.
- [12] N. Yamazaki, Bipartite distance-regular graphs with an eigenvalue of multiplicity k, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 66 (1995) 34-37.