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#### Abstract

We prove that the number of connected components in the intersection of two open Schubert cells in relative position $w$ in the space of complete real $n$-dimensional flags equals $3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ for a generic permutation $w \in S_{n}$. Our construction combines the machinery of pseudo-line arrangements with the theory of groups generated by symplectic transvections.


The point of departure for this talk is the following result obtained in [SSV2, SSV3]. Let $N_{n}^{0}$ denote the semi-algebraic set of all unipotent uppertriangular $n \times n$ matrices $x$ with real entries such that for every $k=1, \ldots, n-$ 1 , the minor of $x$ with rows $1, \ldots, k$ and columns $n-k+1, \ldots, n$ is non-zero. Then the number $\#_{n}$ of connected components of $N_{n}^{0}$ is as follows: $\#_{2}=2$, $\#_{3}=6, \#_{4}=20, \#_{5}=52$, and $\#_{n}=3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ for $n \geqslant 6$.

Let $F l_{n}$ be the space of complete flags in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given any $f \in F l_{n}$, the Schubert cell decomposition assigns to each $w \in S_{n}$ a Schubert cell $C_{w}^{f}$ whose dimension equals the number of inversions in $w$. For any $g \in \mathrm{Fl}_{n}$ we put $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{f, g}=C_{w_{1}}^{f} \cap C_{w_{2}}^{g}$. Recall that $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{f, g}$ depends only on the relative position of $f$ and $g$, that is, $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{f, g_{1}}$ and $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{f, q_{2}}$ are isomorphic, provided $g_{1}, g_{2}$ belong to the same $C_{w}^{f}$. For this reason, we write $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{w}$ instead of $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{f, g}, g \in C_{w}^{f}$. The geometry and combinatorics of pairwise intersections $C_{w_{1}, w_{2}}^{w}$ for arbitrary $w_{1}, w_{2}, w$ was studied in [SSV1].

Let $C^{w}=C_{w_{0}, w_{0}}^{w}$, where $w_{0}=n n-1 \ldots 1$ is the longest permutation in $S_{n}$. Intersections $C^{w}$ appeared in the literature in various contexts, and were studied (in various degrees of generality) in [BFZ,BZ, R1,R2]. It is easy to

[^0]see that $N_{n}^{0}=C^{w_{0}}$, so the result cited above gives the number of connected components in $C^{w_{0}}$. The main result of the present talk is the following generalization of the above result.

Theorem 1. For a generic $w \in S_{n}$ the number of connected components in $C^{w}$ equals $3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$.

Our basic construction relies on the machinery of pseudoline arrangements associated with reduced expressions in the symmetric group developed in [BFZ]. Let $w \in S_{n}$ be an arbitrary permutation, and let $\omega=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \ldots s_{i_{m}}$ be an arbitrary reduced expression for $w$. We denote by $\sigma=\sigma^{\omega}$ the sequence $12 \ldots n-1 i_{1} \ldots i_{m}$, and by $\sigma_{i}$ the $i$ th element of $\sigma$. A pair ( $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}$ ), $i<j$, is called a chamber (of level $k$ ) if $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{j}=k$ and $\sigma_{p} \neq k$ for $i<p<j$. A chamber $\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right)$ is called bounded if $i>n-1$ and unbounded otherwise.

We assign to $\sigma^{\omega}$ an undirected graph $G^{\omega}$ in the following way. The vertices of $G^{\omega}$ are all the chambers of $\sigma^{\omega}$; we denote the vertex set of $G^{\omega}$ by $\Gamma^{\omega}$. Let $\gamma_{1}=\left(\sigma_{i_{1}}, \sigma_{j_{1}}\right)$ be a chamber of level $k_{1}, \gamma_{2}=\left(\sigma_{i_{2}}, \sigma_{j_{2}}\right)$ be a chamber of level $k_{2}$; assume without loss of generality that $i_{1}<i_{2}$. The vertices $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are joined by an edge in $G^{\omega}$ if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:
(i) $k_{1}=k_{2}$ and $\sigma_{i} \neq k_{1}$ for $j_{1}<i<i_{2}$;
(ii) $\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|=1$ and $i_{2}<j_{1}<j_{2}$.

Denote by $V^{\omega}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\Gamma^{\omega}}$ the vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with the basis $e_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma^{\omega}$. The graph $G^{\omega}$ induces an alternating bilinear form $\Omega^{\omega}$ on $V^{\omega}$, namely,

$$
\Omega^{\omega}=\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in G^{\omega}} e_{\alpha}^{*} \wedge e_{\beta}^{*}
$$

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma^{\omega}$ we define a linear transformation $\tau_{\gamma}: V^{\omega} \rightarrow V^{\omega}$ by the following rule:

$$
\tau_{\gamma} v=v-\Omega^{\omega}\left(v, e_{\gamma}\right) e_{\gamma} \quad \text { for any } v \in V^{\omega} ;
$$

$\tau_{\gamma}$ is called the symplectic transvection at $\gamma$ with respect to $\Omega^{\omega}$. Let $\Gamma_{0}^{\omega} \subset \Gamma^{\omega}$ be the set of bounded chambers of $\sigma^{\omega}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$ the group of linear transformations $V^{\omega} \rightarrow V^{\omega}$ generated by $\left\{\tau_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{0}^{\omega}\right\}$.

The following result is a generalization of the main theorem of [SSV2].
Theorem 2. For any $w \in S_{n}$ and any reduced expression $\omega$ for $w$, the number of connected components of $C^{w}$ equals the number of orbits of $\mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$ in $V^{\omega}$.

Our construction of $\mathfrak{G}^{\omega}$ is a special case of a more general construction, which uses an arbitrary finite set $\Gamma$, an arbitrary undirected graph $G$ without loops and multiple edges on the vertex set $\Gamma$, and an arbitrary subset $\Gamma_{0} \subseteq \Gamma$. The orbits of $\mathfrak{G}$ in $V=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\Gamma}$ in case $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$ were studied in [Ja] under certain assumptions of general position; the number of orbits in this case is equal

## INTERSECTIONS OF SCHUBERT CELLS

to 3 . Here we prove that under similar assumptions the number of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits in $V$ equals $3 \cdot 2^{r}$, where $r=|\Gamma|-\left|\Gamma_{0}\right|$.

Let us define the linear operator $L: V \rightarrow V^{*}$ by $\Omega(x, y)=(x, L y)$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the standard pairing between $V$ and $V^{*}$; evidently, $L^{*}=L$. Let $V_{0}=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\Gamma 0}$ be the space spanned by $e_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{0}$; by $\iota$ we denote the injection $V_{0} \hookrightarrow V$, and by $\iota^{*}$ its dual $V^{*} \rightarrow V_{0}^{*}$. Combining $L$ with $\iota$ and $\iota^{*}$ we get the maps $\Lambda=L \circ \iota: V_{0} \rightarrow V^{*}$ and $L_{0}=\iota^{*} \circ \Lambda: V_{0} \rightarrow V_{0}^{*}$; evidently, $L_{0}^{*}=i^{*} \circ L^{*} \circ i=i^{*} \circ L \circ i=L_{0}$.

The following observation turns out to be crucial for our construction. Let $\Omega_{0}$ denote the restriction of the form $\Omega$ to $V_{0}$.
Theorem 3. Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_{0}$ and $G$ satisfy condition

$$
\left({ }^{*}+\right) \quad \Lambda^{*}: V \rightarrow V_{0}^{*} \text { is a surjection }
$$

then the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on $V_{0}$ by symplectic transvections with respect to $\Omega_{0}$ is dual to the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on $V_{0}^{*}$ induced by $\Lambda^{*}$.

We say that a subset $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_{0}$ is a transversal if $\left|\Gamma_{0}\right|-|\Delta|=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} L_{0}$ and the restriction of $\Omega$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{\Delta}$ is nondegenerate. A transversal is called nonspecial if the subgraph of $G$ induced by $\Delta$ is connected and contains six vertices that span a subgraph isomorphic to the Dynkin diagram $E_{6}$.
Theorem 4. Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_{0}$ and $G$ satisfy (*) and let there exist two nonspecial transversals $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2} \subseteq \Gamma_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}=\Gamma_{0} \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \in G$ for some pair $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}$.
Then the number of orbits of $\mathfrak{G}$ on $V$ equals $3 \cdot 2^{r}$, where $r=|\Gamma|-\left|\Gamma_{0}\right|$.
To apply Theorem 4 we have to verify its assumptions for the triple ( $\Gamma^{\omega}, \Gamma_{0}^{\omega}, G^{\omega}$ ). First of all, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 5. For any $w \in S_{n}$ and any reduced expression $\omega$ for $w$, the linear operator $\left(\Lambda^{\omega}\right)^{*}$ satisfies $(*)$.

Let $\omega$ be a reduced expression for some $w \in S_{n}$. If the subgraph $G_{0}^{\omega}$ of $G^{\omega}$ induced by $\Gamma_{0}^{\omega}$ is not connected, then $w$ can be decomposed into the product of two permutations on the index sets $[1, \ldots, i]$ and $[i, \ldots, n]$ with $1<i<n$; moreover, $G_{0}^{\omega^{\prime}}$ remains not connected for any other reduced expression $\omega^{\prime}$ of $w$. Therefore, the fraction of permutations for which $G_{0}^{\omega}$ is connected tends to 1 with $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence this property is generic. On the other hand, the existence of a reduced expression $\omega$ such that $\sigma^{\omega}$ contains three consequent indices $i-1, i, i+1$ at least $k$ times is also generic for any fixed $k$.
Proposition 6. Let $w \in S_{n}$ possess a reduced expression $\omega$ such that $G_{0}^{\omega}$ is connected and $\sigma^{\omega}$ contains each of the indices $i-1, i, i+1$ at least 5 times for some $i, 1<i<n-2$. Then there exist two nonspecial transversals $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2} \subseteq \Gamma_{0}^{\omega}$ satisfying ( $* *$ ) and ( $* * *$ ).

To get Theorem 1 from Theorem 4 it remains to observe that $r^{\omega}=\left|\Gamma^{\omega}\right|-$ $\left|\Gamma_{0}^{\omega}\right|$ is just the number of unbounded chambers, $r^{\omega}=n-1$.
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