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#### Abstract

We establish a duality relation between one of the twisted group algebras of the hyperoctahedral group $H_{k}$ and a Lie superalgebra $q\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus q\left(n_{1}\right)$ for any integers $k \geq 4$ and $n_{0}, n_{1} \geq 1$, where $q\left(n_{0}\right)$ and $q\left(n_{1}\right)$ denote the "queer" Lie superalgebras. Note that this twisted group algebra $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ belongs to a different cocycle from the one $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ used by A. N. Sergeev in [8] and by the present author in [11].

We will use the supertensor product $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ of the $2^{k}$-dimensional Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$, as an intermediary for establishing our duality. We show that the algebra $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ act on the $k$-fold tensor product $W=V^{\otimes k}$ of the natural representation $V$ of $q\left(n_{0}+n_{1}\right)$ as mutual supercentralizers of each other (Theorem 4.1). Moreover, we show that $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ act on a subspace $W^{\prime}$ of $W$ as mutual supercentralizers of each other (Theorem 4.2). This duality relation gives a formula for the character values of simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-modules. This formula is different from a formula (Theorem E) obtained by J. R. Stembridge (cf. [10, Lem 7.5]).


## §1. Introduction

We establish a duality relation (Theorem 4.2) between one of the twisted group algebras of the hyperoctahedral group $H_{k}$ and a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ for any integers $k \geq 4$ and $n_{0}, n_{1} \geq 1$. Here $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ denote the "queer" Lie superalgebras as called by some authors. The twisted group algebra $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ in focus in this paper belongs to a different cocycle from the one $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ used by A. N. Sergeev in his work [8] on a duality with $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ and by the present author in a previous work [11]. This $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ contains the twisted group algebra $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ in a straightforward manner (cf. (2.1)), and has a structure similar to the semidirect product of $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ and $\mathbb{C}\left[(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k}\right]$.

We will use the algebra $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ is the $2^{k}$-dimensional Clifford algebra (cf. (3.2)) and $\dot{\otimes}$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded tensor product (the supertensor product) (cf. [1], [2], [11, §1]). We define a representation of $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ in the $k$-fold tensor product $W=V^{\otimes k}$ of $V=\mathbb{C}^{n_{0}+n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_{0}+n_{1}}$, the space of the natural representation of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}+n_{1}\right)$. This representation of $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ depends on $n_{0}$ and $n_{1}$, not just $n_{0}+n_{1}$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ can be regarded as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$, since $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{k}$ by our previous result (cf. [11, Th. 3.2]). Under this embedding, our representation of $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ restricts to the representation of
$\mathcal{B}_{k}$ in $W$ defined by Sergeev (cf. Theorem C). We show that the centralizer of $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{End}(W)$ is generated by the action of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ (Theorem 4.1). Moreover we show that $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ act on a subspace $W^{\prime}$ of $W$ "as mutual centralizers of each other" (Theorem 4.2). This duality relation gives a formula for the character values of simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-modules (Corollary 4.4). In this formula, the character values are described as the entries of the transition matrix between two bases of $\Omega_{x} \otimes \Omega_{y}$ (see the definition of these rings $\Omega_{x}$ and $\Omega_{y}$ in Appendix, A). These bases are different from those used by J. R. Stembridge in [10, Lem 7.5] (cf. Theorem E). Note that $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ and $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ act on the same space $W^{\prime}$ "as mutual centralizers of each other" (cf. Theorem D).

In Appendix, we include short explanations of some known reults, which we use in the previous sections.

In this paper, all vector spaces, and associative algebras, and representations are assumed to be finite dimensional over $\mathbb{C}$ unless specified otherwise. The precise statements of the results skeched in the introduction use the formulation of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ graded representations of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded algebras (superalgebras) (cf. Appendix, B) as was used in [1] and [2].

## §2. Simple modules for a twisted group algebra $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$

For any $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ denote the associative algebra generated by $\tau^{\prime}$ and the $\gamma_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, with relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{\prime 2}=\gamma_{i}^{2}=1 \quad(1 \leq i \leq k-1), \quad\left(\gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+1}\right)^{3}=1 \quad(1 \leq i \leq k-2)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left(\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j}\right)^{2}=-1 \quad(|i-j| \geq 2), \quad\left(\tau^{\prime} \gamma_{i}\right)^{2}=1 \quad(2 \leq i \leq k-1) \\
& \left(\tau^{\prime} \gamma_{1}\right)^{4}=1
\end{align*}
$$

If $k \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a twisted group algebra of the hyperoctahedral group $H_{k}$ with a nontrivial 2 -cocycle (cf. [10, Prop. 1.1]). We regard $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ as a superalgebra by giving the generator $\tau^{\prime}$ (resp. the generator $\gamma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) degree 0 (resp. degree 1). Note that this grading of $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ is different from that of $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ in (3.1) or in [11]. Let $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ denote the subsuperalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ generated by $\gamma_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. If $k \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ is isomorphic to a twisted group algebra of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ with a nontrivial 2 -cocycle, with the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading as in [2] and [11]. The simple $\mathcal{A}_{k}$-modules are parametrized by $D P_{k}$, the distinct partitions (the strict partitions) of $k$ (cf. [2], [7], [9]). For $\lambda \in D P_{k}$, let $V_{\lambda}$ denote a simple $\mathcal{A}_{k}$-module indexed by $\lambda$.

The simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-modules are parametrized by $\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}$, where $\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}$ denotes the set of all $(\lambda, \mu) \in D P^{2}$ such that $|\lambda|+|\mu|=k\left(D P=\coprod_{k \geq 0} D P_{k}\right)$. For $(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}$, we construct a $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-module $V_{\lambda, \mu}$ indexed by $(\lambda, \mu)$ as follows. Define a surjective homomorphism of superalgebras $\pi_{k}: \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}$ (resp. $\pi_{k}^{\prime}: \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}$ ) by $\pi_{k}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=1,\left.\pi_{k}\right|_{\mathcal{A}_{k}}=\operatorname{id} \mathcal{A}_{k}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \pi_{k}^{\prime}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=-1,\left.\pi_{k}^{\prime}\right|_{\mathcal{A}_{k}}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}_{k}}\right)$. The simple $\mathcal{A}_{k^{\prime}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{k-k^{\prime}}$ )-module $V_{\lambda}$ (resp. $V_{\mu}$ ) can be lifted to a $\mathcal{B}_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ )-module via $\pi_{k^{\prime}}$ (resp. $\pi_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ), where $k^{\prime}=|\lambda|$. This (simple) $\mathcal{B}_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ )-module is denoted by $V_{\lambda, \phi}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V_{\phi, \mu}\right)$. Let $V_{\lambda, \mu}$ denote the $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-module induced from the
$\mathcal{B}_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-module $V_{\lambda, \phi} \dot{\circ} V_{\phi, \mu}$, namely

$$
V_{\lambda, \mu}=\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}}}\left(V_{\lambda, \phi} \dot{\circ} V_{\phi, \mu}\right)
$$

(see the definition of the operation ó in Appendix, (B.1)), where $\mathcal{B}_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) is embedded into $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ as a subsuperalgebra generated by $\tau_{1}^{\prime}$ and the $\gamma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq$ $k^{\prime}-1$ (resp. $\tau_{k^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}$ and the $\gamma_{j}, k^{\prime}+1 \leq j \leq k-1$ ) ( $\tau_{i}^{\prime}$ denotes the element $\gamma_{i-1} \gamma_{i-2} \cdots \gamma_{1} \tau^{\prime} \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{i-2} \gamma_{i-1}$ of $\left.\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem 2.1. (cf. [10], Th. 7.1) $\left\{V_{\lambda, \mu} \mid(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}\right\}$ is a complete set of the isomorphism classes of simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-modules.

The proof is analogous to the little group method, and is omitted. It can also be shown that this parametrization coincides with that by Stembridge in [10, Th. 7.1] modulo the usual difference between $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded and non-graded modules.

## §3. The algebras $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$

For any $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ denote the associative algebra generated by $\tau$ and the $\sigma_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, with relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{2}=\sigma_{i}^{2}=1 \quad(1 \leq i \leq k-1), \quad\left(\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}\right)^{3}=1 \quad(1 \leq i \leq k-2),  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left(\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right)^{2}=1 \quad(|i-j| \geq 2), \quad\left(\tau \sigma_{i}\right)^{2}=1 \quad(2 \leq i \leq k-1), \\
& \left(\tau \sigma_{1}\right)^{4}=-1
\end{align*}
$$

We regard $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ as a superalgebra by giving the generator $\tau^{\prime}$ (resp. the generator $\sigma_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) degree 1 (resp. degree 0 ). The subgroup of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}\right)^{\times}$generated by $\sigma_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree $k$ and it is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ denote the $2^{k}$-dimensional Clifford algebra, namely $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ is generated by $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}$ with relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}^{2}=1, \quad \xi_{i} \xi_{j}=-\xi_{j} \xi_{i} \quad(i \neq j) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We regard $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ as a superalgebra by giving the generator $\xi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, degree 1 . $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ is a simple superalgebra. Let $X_{k}$ be a unique simple $\mathcal{C}_{k}$-module.

The superalgebra $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ is isomorphic to the supertensor product of the superalgebras $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ (see the definition of the supertensor product in [1], [2], [11, §1]). Define a linear map $\vartheta: \mathcal{B}_{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta\left(\tau_{i}\right) \mapsto \xi_{i} \otimes 1 \quad(1 \leq i \leq k),  \tag{3.3}\\
& \vartheta\left(\sigma_{j}\right) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\xi_{j}-\xi_{j+1}\right) \otimes \gamma_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k-1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{i}=\sigma_{i-1} \cdots \sigma_{1} \tau \sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{i-1}$. Then $\vartheta$ is an isomorphism of superalgebras (cf. [11, Th. 3.2]). For $\lambda \in D P_{k}$, define a $\mathcal{B}_{k}$-module $W_{\lambda}$ by $W_{\lambda}=X_{k} \dot{\circ} V_{\lambda}$. By

Corollary B. $2,\left\{W_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in D P_{k}\right\}$ is a complete set of the isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}$-modules.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$ denote the supertensor product of the algebras $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$, namely $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}=$ $\mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{k} \cong \mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{k}, \mathcal{B}_{k}$ can be regarded as a subsuperalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$. For $(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}$, put $W_{\lambda, \mu}=X_{k} \circ V_{\lambda, \mu}$. By Corollary B. $2,\left\{W_{\lambda, \mu} \mid(\lambda, \mu) \in\right.$ $\left.\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}\right\}$ is a complete set of the isomorphism classes of simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-modules. Note that $W_{\lambda, \mu}$ is isomorphic to the $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k^{\prime}}$-module induced from the $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k^{\prime}} \dot{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k-k^{\prime}}$-module $W_{\lambda, \phi} \circ W_{\phi, \mu}$, where $k^{\prime}=|\lambda|$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\lambda, \mu} \cong_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \otimes_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k^{\prime}} \dot{\otimes} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{k-k^{\prime}}}\left(W_{\lambda, \phi} \dot{\circ} W_{\phi, \mu}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §4. A DuAlity of $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$

Let $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ denote the queer Lie superalgebra, namely $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ is the Lie subsuperalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(n, n)$ (denoted by $l(n, n)$ in [5]) consisting of the matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ B & A\end{array}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{n}=\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q}(n))$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{q}(n)$, which can be regarded as a superalgebra.

Let $W$ denote the $k$-fold supertensor product of the $2 n$-dimensional natural representation $V=\mathbb{C}^{n} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n}$ of $\mathfrak{q}(n)$, namely $W=V^{\otimes k}$. We define a representation $\Theta: \mathcal{U}_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(W)$ by

$$
\Theta(X)\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{\bar{X} \cdot\left(\overline{v_{1}}+\cdots+\overline{v_{j-1}}\right)} v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sum_{v_{j}}^{j} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}
$$

for all homogeneous elements $X \in \mathfrak{q}(n)$ and $v_{i} \in V(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Note that $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ is an infinite dimensional superalgebra. However, for a fixed number $k, \mathcal{U}_{n}$ acts on $W$ through its finite dimensional image in $\operatorname{End}(W)$. Therefore we can use the results in Appendix, $\mathbb{E}$ on finite dimensional superalgebras and their finite dimensional modules.

Let $n_{0}$ and $n_{1}$ be two positive integers such that $n_{0}+n_{1}=n$. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ can be embedded into $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ via

$$
\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right) \ni\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{4.1}\\
B & A
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C & D \\
D & C
\end{array}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A & 0 & B & 0 \\
0 & C & 0 & D \\
A & 0 & B & 0 \\
0 & C & 0 & D
\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{q}(n)
$$

The universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$ which can be embedded into $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ as a subalgebra generated by the elements of $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$.

Now we define a representation $\Psi: \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(W)$ of $\hat{\mathcal{B}_{k}}$, which depends on $n_{0}$
and $n_{1}$, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (4.2)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \Psi\left(\xi_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right)=(-1)^{\overline{v_{1}}+\cdots+\overline{v_{i-1}}} v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P v_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k} \quad(1 \leq i \leq k), \\
& \Psi\left(1 \otimes \tau^{\prime}\right)\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right)=\left(Q v_{1}\right) \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}, \\
& \Psi\left(1 \otimes \gamma_{j}\right)\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{(-1)^{\overline{v_{1}}}+\cdots+\overline{v_{j-1}}}{\sqrt{2}} v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(P v_{j} \otimes v_{j+1}-(-1)^{\overline{v_{j}}} v_{j} \otimes P v_{j+1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k} \\
& \quad(1 \leq j \leq k-1)
\end{align*}
$$

for all homogeneous elements $v_{j} \in V, 1 \leq j \leq k$, where $P$ and $Q$ denote the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -\sqrt{-1} I_{n} \\ \sqrt{-1} I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}I_{n_{0}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n_{0}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{n_{1}}\end{array}\right)$ respectively. Note that, by the isomorphism $\vartheta: \mathcal{B}_{k} \cong \mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{k}\left(\subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right), W$ can be regarded as a $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ module and this $\mathcal{B}_{k}$-module was investigated by Sergeev in [8] (cf. Theorem C).

Let $W^{\prime}$ be a $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$-submodule of $W$. Since $\left(\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)\right)_{0} \cong \mathfrak{g l}\left(n_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus$ $\mathfrak{g l}\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ as a Lie algebra, and $V$ is a sum of two copies of the natural representation $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n_{0}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_{1}}$ of $\mathfrak{g l}\left(n_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{g l}\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, this embeds $\left.W^{\prime}\right|_{\left(\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)\right)_{0}}$ into a sum of tensor powers of the natural representation, so that this representation of $\mathfrak{g l}\left(n_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{g l}\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ can be integrated to a polynomial representation $\theta_{W^{\prime}}$ of $G L\left(n_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \times G L\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime}\right]$ denote the character of $\theta_{W^{\prime}}$, namely

$$
\operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \theta_{W^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}\right), \operatorname{diag}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right)\right)
$$

The following theorem determines the supercentralizer of $\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right)$ in $\operatorname{End}(W)$ and describes the characters of simple $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$-modules appearing in $W$.
Theorem 4.1. (1) The two superalgebras $\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$ act on $W$ as the mutual supercentralizers of each other:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}_{\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)}(W)=\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right), \quad \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right)}(W)=\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-module $W_{\lambda, \mu}\left((\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}\right)$ occurs in $W$ if and only if $l(\lambda) \leq n_{0}$ and $l(\mu) \leq n_{1}$. Moreover $W$ is decomposed as a multiplicity-free sum of simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-modules as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \cong_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(u_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)} \bigoplus_{\substack{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k} \\ l(\lambda) \leq n_{0}, l(\mu) \leq n_{1}}} W_{\lambda, \mu} \dot{\circ}\left(U_{\lambda} \dot{\circ} U_{\mu}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{\lambda}$ (resp. $U_{\mu}$ ) denotes the simple $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$ )-module corresponding to the simple $\mathcal{B}_{|\lambda|}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{B}_{|\mu|}\right)$-module $W_{\lambda}$ (resp. $W_{\mu}$ ) in Sergeev's duality (cf. Theorem $C)$.
(3) Put $U_{\lambda, \mu}=U_{\lambda} \dot{\circ} U_{\mu}$. Then the character values of $\operatorname{Ch}\left[U_{\lambda, \mu}\right]$ are given as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ch}\left[U_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right)=2^{\frac{d(\lambda, \mu)-l(\lambda)-l(\mu)}{2}} Q_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}\right) Q_{\mu}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where d: $\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ denotes a map defined by $d(\lambda, \mu)=0($ resp. $d(\lambda, \mu)=1)$ if $l(\lambda)+l(\mu)$ is even (resp. $l(\lambda)+l(\mu)$ is odd) and $Q_{\lambda}$ and $Q_{\nu}$ denote Schur's $Q$-functions (cf. Appendix, A).

Proof. First we will show the second equality of (4.4). Then the first equality also follows from the double supercentralizer theorem (abbreviated as DSCT) for semisimple superalgebras (cf. [11, Th. 2.1]).

By direct calculations, it can be checked that $\Theta(X \otimes Y)$ commutes with $\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right), Y \in \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$. Hence we have $\operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right)}(W) \supset \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$. Moreover, it can be shown that any element $f$ of $\operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{k}\right)}(W)$ belongs to the subsuperalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(W)=\operatorname{End}(V)^{\otimes k}$ generated by the elements of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{k} 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \stackrel{j}{X} \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$ with $X \in \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$. Hence we have $\operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}\right)}(W) \subset \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$.

Since $V$ can be regarded the space of the natural representation of $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$, it follows, by Theorem C, that any simple $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$-module occurring in $W=V^{\otimes k}$ is of the form $U_{\lambda} \circ U_{\mu},(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}$, and that $U_{\lambda} \circ U_{\mu}$ occurs in $W$ if and only if $l(\lambda) \leq n_{0}$ and $l(\mu) \leq n_{1}$. By (4.4) and DSCT, $W$ can be decomposed as a multiplicity-free sum of non-isomorphic simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-modules. Again by Theorem C, it can be shown that the simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-module, which is paired with $U_{\lambda} \circ U_{\mu}$ in $W$, contains $W_{\lambda, \phi} \otimes W_{\phi, \mu}$ as a $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k^{\prime}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k-k^{\prime}}$ submodule. By (3.4), it follows that this simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-module is isomorphic to $W_{\lambda, \mu}$. Therefore the result (2) follows.

The result (3) immediately follows from Theorem C, (3) and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ch}\left[U \circ U^{\prime}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ch}[U]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}\right) \operatorname{Ch}\left[U^{\prime}\right]\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \text { if } U \text { or } U^{\prime} \text { is of type } M, \\
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ch}[U]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}\right) \operatorname{Ch}\left[U^{\prime}\right]\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \text { if } U, U^{\prime} \text { are of type } Q
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(see the definition of the "type" of simple modules of superalgebras in the argument before Theorem B.1).

We can rewrite (4.5) using the isomorphism $W_{\lambda, \mu} \cong X_{k} \dot{\circ} V_{\lambda, \mu}$ as $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-modules. We have

$$
W \cong \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}}\left(X_{k} \circ V_{\lambda, \mu}\right) \circ U_{\lambda, \mu} .
$$

The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ contains the commuting involutions $\zeta_{i}=\sqrt{-1} \xi_{2 i-1} \xi_{2 i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$, of degree 0 . For each $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}$, put $W^{\varepsilon}=\{w \in$ $\left.W \mid \Psi\left(\zeta_{i} \otimes 1\right)(w)=(-1)^{\varepsilon_{i}} w\left(1 \leq^{\forall} i \leq r\right)\right\}$. Then we have $W=\bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}} W^{\varepsilon}$. Since $\zeta_{i} \otimes 1$ commutes with $1 \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r, W^{\varepsilon}$ is a $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-module.

Theorem 4.2. For each $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}$, the submodule $W^{\varepsilon}$ is decomposed as a multiplicityfree sum of simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-modules as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\varepsilon} \cong{ }_{\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)} \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}} V_{\lambda, \mu} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda, \mu} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above decomposition, the simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-modules are paired with the simple $\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes}$ $\mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}$-modules in a bijective manner.

If $k$ is even, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}_{\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)=\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right), \quad \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)=\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k$ is odd, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}_{\Theta\left(u_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right) \cong \mathcal{C}_{1} \dot{\otimes} \Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right), \quad \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right) \cong \mathcal{C}_{1} \dot{\otimes} \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For a simple $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-module $U=\left(X_{k} \dot{\circ} V_{\lambda, \mu}\right) \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda, \mu}$ of $W$, put $U^{\varepsilon}=\left\{v \in U \mid \Psi\left(\zeta_{i} \otimes 1\right) v=(-1)^{\varepsilon_{i}} \xi \quad\left(1 \leq^{\forall} i \leq r\right)\right\}$ for each $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}$. Then $U^{\varepsilon}$ is a $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-submodule of $\left.U\right|_{\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(U_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)} \cong\left(V_{\lambda, \mu} \circ U_{\lambda, \mu}\right)^{\otimes 2^{r}}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} U^{\varepsilon}=2^{-r} \operatorname{dim} U=\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda, \mu} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda, \mu}$, we have $U^{\varepsilon} \cong V_{\lambda, \mu} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda, \mu}$. Therefore the result (4.7) follows.

Assume that $k$ is even. We will show the second equality in (4.8). Then the first equality follows from $\operatorname{DSCT}$. Since $W^{\varepsilon}$ is a $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-module, we have $\left.\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)\right|_{W^{\varepsilon}} \subset \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)$. By DSCT, (4.7) and (4.5), we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_{k}\right)}(W)
$$

since both equal $\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}^{+}}\left(\operatorname{dim} U_{\lambda, \mu}\right)^{2}+\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}^{-}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{dim} U_{\lambda, \mu}\right)^{2}$. By Theorem 4.1, (1), we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{B}}_{k}\right)}(W)=\operatorname{dim} \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$. Moreover, it can be shown that a linear map $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon}:\left.\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right) \rightarrow \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)\right|_{W^{c}}$ defined by $\mathfrak{p}_{\varepsilon}(f)=\left.f\right|_{W^{e}}$ for any $f \in \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$ is bijective. Hence we have $\left.\operatorname{dim} \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)\right|_{W^{c}}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)$. It follows that $\left.\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)\right|_{W^{\varepsilon}}=\operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)$, as required.

Assume that $k$ is odd. Then the supercentralizer $\operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)$ contains an invertible element $\Psi\left(\xi_{k}\right) \in \Psi\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)$. The subsuperalgebra of End ${ }_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)$ generated by $\Psi\left(\xi_{k}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. By the argument similar to the proof of (4.8), the result (4.9) follows from DSCT (cf. [11, Cor. 2.1]).

Let us mention a relation between the branching rule of the $\mathfrak{q}(n)$-modules to $\mathfrak{q}\left(n_{0}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{q}\left(n_{1}\right)$ and that of the $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$-modules to $\mathcal{B}_{k}$ (or that of the $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-modules to $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ ).

If an $A$-module $V$ restricts to an $B$-module, we write $V \downarrow_{B}^{A}$ for this $B$-module, for a superalgebra $A$ and a subsuperalgebra $B$ of $A$. Moreover, we write $[V: U]_{A}$ (or simply write $[V: U]$ ) for the multiplicity of a simple $A$-module $U$ in an $A$-module $V$.

Corollary 4.3. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda}=\left[U_{\lambda} \downarrow_{u_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}}^{u_{n}}: U_{\mu, \nu}\right], \\
& {m_{\mu, \nu}^{\prime \lambda}}_{\prime \lambda}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
W_{\mu, \nu} \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}_{k}} & \left.W_{\lambda}\right]
\end{array} \quad\left(\text { resp. }\left[V_{\mu, \nu} \downarrow_{\mathcal{A}_{k}}^{\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}}: V_{\lambda}\right]\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
m_{\mu, \nu}^{\prime \lambda}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} m_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} & \text { if } W_{\mu, \nu}\left(\text { resp. } V_{\mu, \nu}\right) \text { is of type } M  \tag{4.10}\\
2 m_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} & \text { if } W_{\mu, \nu}\left(\text { resp. } V_{\mu, \nu}(\text { resp. is of type } Q\right. \\
\text { and } W_{\lambda}\left(\text { resp. } V_{\lambda}\right) \text { is of type } M, \\
m_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda} & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Using (4.5) and (C.2) we consider the multiplicities of the simple $\mathcal{B}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes}\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-module $W_{\lambda} \dot{\circ} U_{\mu, \nu}$ in $W \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)}^{\mathcal{B}_{k} \dot{\otimes} u_{n}}$, $W \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(u_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)}^{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k} \dot{\otimes}\left(u_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)}$ respectively. Then, in the case of $\left[W_{\mu, \nu} \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}_{k}}^{\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}}: W_{\lambda}\right]$, the result (4.10) follows.

In the case of $\left[V_{\mu, \nu} \downarrow_{\mathcal{A}_{k}}^{\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}}: V_{\lambda}\right]$, the result (4.10) similarly follows by using (4.7) and (D.1).

Let $H_{k}^{\prime}$ be the subgroup of $\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\times}$generated by $-1, \tau^{\prime}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k-1}$. Then $H_{k}^{\prime}$ is a double cover (a central extension with a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ kernel) of $H_{k}$. For a pair $(\kappa, \nu)$ of partitions $\kappa$ and $\nu$, let $w^{\kappa, \nu}$ denote the element of $H_{k}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w^{\kappa, \nu}=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{l} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} \cdots w_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime} \quad\left(l=l(\kappa), l^{\prime}=l(\nu)\right) \\
& w_{i}=\gamma_{a+1} \gamma_{a+2} \cdots \gamma_{a+\kappa_{i}-1} \quad\left(a=\kappa_{1}+\cdots+\kappa_{i-1}\right) \\
& w_{i}^{\prime}=\gamma_{b+1} \gamma_{b+2} \cdots \gamma_{b+\nu_{i}-1} \tau_{b+\nu_{i}}^{\prime} \quad\left(b=|\kappa|+\nu_{1}+\cdots+\nu_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the image of $w^{\kappa, \nu}$ in $H_{k}$ is a representative of the conjugacy class of $H_{k}$ indexed by $(\kappa, \nu)$. Let $\mathrm{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right]$ denote the character of $V_{\lambda, \mu}$, namely $\mathrm{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right](w)=$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(w_{V_{\lambda, \mu}}\right)$ for $w \in \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ where $w_{V_{\lambda, \mu}}$ denotes the action of $w \in \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ on $V_{\lambda, \mu}$. Then $\operatorname{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu}\right)=0$ unless $(\kappa, \nu) \in\left(O P^{2}\right)_{k}$, where $\left(O P^{2}\right)_{k}$ denotes the set of all $(\kappa, \nu) \in O P^{2}$ such that $|\kappa|+|\nu|=k$ ( $O P$ denotes the odd partitions). We describe a formula for the character values of simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-modules. Define a map $\varepsilon:\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by $\varepsilon(\lambda, \mu)=1$ (resp. $\left.\varepsilon(\lambda, \mu)=0\right)$ if $(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}^{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}^{-}\right)$.
Corollary 4.4. We have
(4.11)
$2^{\frac{l(\kappa)+l(\nu)}{2}} p_{\kappa}(x, y) p_{\nu}(x,-y)=\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}} \operatorname{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) 2^{\frac{-l(\lambda)-l(\mu)-\varepsilon(\lambda, \mu)}{2}} Q_{\lambda}(x) Q_{\mu}(y)$
for all $(\kappa, \nu) \in\left(O P^{2}\right)_{k}$, where $p_{\kappa}(x, y)$ (resp. $\left.p_{\nu}(x,-y)\right)$ denotes the power sum symmetric function $p_{\kappa}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.p_{\nu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,-y_{1},-y_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)$ (cf. Appendix, A).

Proof. By what we noted before Theorem 4.1, any $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{U}_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n_{1}}\right)$-submodule $W^{\prime}$ of $W$ can be regarded as a $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-module with a commuting polynomial representation
$\theta_{W^{\prime}}$ of $G L\left(n_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \times G L\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. Here we extend our notation in Theorem 4.1 to let $\operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime}\right](x \otimes g)$ denote the trace $\operatorname{tr}\left(x_{W^{\prime}} \circ \theta_{W^{\prime}}(g)\right)$ for $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $g \in G L\left(n_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \times$ $G L\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, where $x_{W^{\prime}}$ denotes the action of $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ on $W^{\prime}$.

For any $\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}$, we have $W^{\varepsilon} \cong_{\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime} \dot{\otimes}\left(u_{n_{0}} \dot{\otimes} u_{n_{1}}\right)} W^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. Hence, for $(\kappa, \nu) \in\left(O P^{2}\right)_{k}$ and $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \in G L(n, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ch}\left[W^{\varepsilon}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu} \otimes E\right)=2^{-r} \mathrm{Ch}[W]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) \otimes E\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \nu} \in \mathcal{C}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}=\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$. Put $k^{\prime}=|\kappa|$ and $l=l(\kappa)$. Then $k-k^{\prime}=$ $|\nu|$. Moreover put $W^{\prime}=V^{\otimes k^{\prime}}$ and $W^{\prime \prime}=V^{\otimes k-k^{\prime}}$. We have $w^{\kappa, \nu}=w^{\kappa, \phi} w^{\phi, \nu}$, where $w^{\kappa, \phi} \in \mathcal{B}_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}, w^{\phi, \nu} \in \mathcal{B}_{k-k^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Define a representations of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k^{\prime}}$ on $W^{\prime}$ (resp. a representation of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k-k^{\prime}}$ on $W^{\prime \prime}$ ) by the same manner as the representation $\Psi$ of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k}$ in $W$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ch}[W]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) \otimes E\right)=\operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime}\right]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \phi}\right) \otimes E\right) \operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\phi, \nu}\right) \otimes E\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element $1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \phi}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{k^{\prime}}$ is a product of $k^{\prime}-l$ elements $1 \otimes \gamma_{j}=\vartheta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tau_{j}-\tau_{j+1}\right) \sigma_{j}\right)$ (cf. (3.3)). This product can be expressed in the following form:

$$
1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \phi}=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{k^{\prime}-l} \times \sum\left(\text { a product of the } \vartheta\left(\tau_{p}\right)\right) \times \vartheta\left(\sigma^{\kappa, \phi}\right)
$$

where $\sigma^{\kappa, \phi}=g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{l}, g_{i}=\sigma_{a+1} \sigma_{a+2} \ldots \sigma_{a+\nu_{i}-1}\left(a=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \kappa_{j}\right)$. Then the $2^{k^{\prime}-l}$ terms in the summation are conjugate to $\vartheta\left(\sigma^{\kappa, \phi}\right)$ in $\vartheta\left(\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}\right)^{\times}\right)$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ch}\left[W^{\prime}\right]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \phi}\right) \otimes E\right) & =2^{k^{\prime}-l}(\sqrt{2})^{-\left(k^{\prime}-l\right)} \operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime}\right]\left(\vartheta\left(\sigma^{\kappa, \phi}\right) \otimes E\right) \\
& =2^{\frac{k^{\prime}+l}{2}} p_{\kappa}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $l^{\prime}=l(\nu)$. Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\phi, \nu}\right) \otimes E\right) & =2^{k-k^{\prime}-l^{\prime}}(\sqrt{2})^{-\left(k-k^{\prime}-l^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{Ch}\left[W^{\prime \prime}\right]\left(\vartheta\left(\sigma^{\prime \phi, \nu}\right) \otimes E\right) \\
& =2^{\frac{k k^{\prime}+l^{\prime}}{2}} p_{\nu}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}},-y_{1}, \ldots,-y_{n_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma^{\prime \phi, \nu}=g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2}^{\prime} \cdots g_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}, g_{i}^{\prime}=\sigma_{b+1} \sigma_{b+2} \ldots \sigma_{b+\nu_{i}-1} \tau_{b+\nu_{i}}^{\prime}\left(b=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \nu_{j}\right)$. By (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ch}\left[W^{\varepsilon}\right]\left(\left(1 \otimes w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) \otimes E\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}}{2}} p_{\kappa}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right) p_{\nu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,-y_{1},-y_{2}, \ldots\right) \text { if } k \text { is even, } \\
2^{\frac{l+l^{\prime}+1}{2}} p_{\kappa}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right) p_{\nu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,-y_{1},-y_{2}, \ldots\right) \text { if } k \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.6), Theorem B. 1 and (B.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu} \otimes E\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) 2^{\frac{-\varepsilon(\lambda, \mu)-l(\lambda)-l(\mu)}{2}} Q_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}\right) Q_{\mu}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \quad \text { if } k \text { is even, } \\
\operatorname{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) 2^{\frac{-c(\lambda, \mu)-l(\lambda)-l(\mu)+1}{2}} Q_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{0}}\right) Q_{\mu}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n_{1}}\right) \text { if } k \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since these hold for all $n_{0}$ and $n_{1}$, the result follows.
Let us mention a relationship between this formula and Stembridge's formula (cf. Theorem E). Define an algebra endomorphism $\iota$ of $\Omega_{x} \otimes \Omega_{y}$ by $\iota(f \otimes 1)=f(x, y)=$ $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $\iota(1 \otimes g)=g(x,-y)=g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,-y_{1},-y_{2}, \ldots\right)$. Note that $\left\{Q_{\lambda}(x, y) Q_{\mu}(x,-y) \mid(\lambda, \mu) \in D P^{2}\right\}$ is a basis of $\Omega_{x} \otimes \Omega_{y}$ (cf. [10, Th. 7.1, Lem. 7.5]). It follows that $\iota$ is an automorphism, since $\iota\left(Q_{\lambda}(x) Q_{\mu}(y)=\right.$ $Q_{\lambda}(x, y) Q_{\mu}(x,-y)$. Moreover, since $\iota\left(p_{r}(x, y)\right)=2 p_{r}(x)$ and $\iota\left(p_{r}(x,-y)\right)=2 p_{r}(y)$ for any odd $r$, it follows that the image of (4.11) under $\iota$ coincides with Stembridge's
formula formula.

## Appendix

A. Symmetric functions. Let $\Lambda_{x}$ denote the ring of the symmetric functions in the variables $x=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$; namely our $\Lambda_{x}$ is the scalar extension of the $\Lambda_{x}$ in [6], which is $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, to $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\Omega_{x}$ denote the subring of $\Lambda_{x}$ generated by the power sums of odd degrees, namely the $p_{r}(x), r=1,3,5, \ldots$. Then $\left\{p_{\lambda}(x) \mid \lambda \in O P\right\}$ is a basis of $\Omega_{x}$, where $p_{\lambda}=\prod_{i \geq 1} p_{\lambda_{i}}$. For $\lambda \in D P$, let $Q_{\lambda}(x) \in \Lambda_{x}$ denote Schur's $Q$-function indexed by $\lambda$ (cf. [7], [9, §6]). Then $\left\{Q_{\lambda}(x) \mid \lambda \in D P\right\}$ is also a basis of $\Omega_{x}$.
B. Semisimple superalgebras. A $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded algebra $A$, which is called a superalgebra in this paper, is called simple if it does not have nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded two-sided ideals. If $A$ is a simple superalgebra, then it is either isomorphic to $M(m, n)$ (denoted by $M(m \mid n)$ in [2]) for some $m$ and $n$, or isomorphic to $Q(n)$ for some $n$ (see [2], $[13, \S 1]$ for the definitions of simple superalgebras $M(m, n), Q(n)$ ).

Let $V$ be an $A$-module, namely a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space $V=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$ together with a representation $\rho: A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ satisfying $\rho\left(A_{\alpha}\right) V_{\beta} \subset V_{\alpha+\beta}\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. By an $A$-submodule of $V$ we mean a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded $\rho(A)$-stable subspace of $V$. We say that $V$ is simple if it does not have nontrivial $A$-submodules.

Let $V$ and $W$ be two $A$-modules. Let $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{\alpha}(V, W)\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ denote the subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}^{\alpha}(V, W)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) ; f\left(V_{\beta}\right) \subset W_{\alpha+\beta}\right\}$ consisting of all elements $f \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}^{\alpha}(V, W)$ such that $f(a v)=(-1)^{\alpha \cdot \beta} a f(v)$ for all $a \in A_{\beta}\left(\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $v \in V$. $\operatorname{Put}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{A}}(V, W)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{0}(V, W) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{1}(V, W)$ and put $\operatorname{End}_{A}(V)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(V, V)$. We call $\operatorname{End}_{A}^{\prime}(V)$ the supercentralizer of $A$ in $\operatorname{End}(V)$. Two $A$-modules $V$ and $W$ are called isomorphic if there exists an invertible linear map $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(V, W)$. If this is the case, we write $V \cong_{A} W$ (or simply write $V \cong W$ ). If $V$ and $W$ are simple $A$-modules, then $V \cong W$ if and only if there exists an invertible element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{0}(V, W)$ or $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{1}(V, W)$. Note that, in [11] we distinguished between $V$ and the shift of $V$ which is defined to be the same vector space as $V$ with the switched grading. In this paper, however, we identify $V$ and the shift of $V$.

If $V$ is a simple $A$-module, then $\operatorname{End}_{A}(V)$ is isomorphic to either $M(1,0) \cong \mathbb{C}$ or $Q(1) \cong \mathcal{C}_{1}$ (cf. [1, Prop. 2.17], [2, Prop. 2.5, Cor. 2.6]). In the former (resp. latter) case, we say that $V$ is of type $M$ (resp. of type $Q$ ). This gives the following theorem (see [1], [2], [11, §1] for the definition of the "supertensor product" of the superalgebras or modules).

Theorem B.1. Let $C=A \dot{\otimes} B$ be the supertensor product of superalgebras $A$ and $B$ and let $V=U \otimes W$ be the supertensor product of a simple $A$-module $U$ and a
simple $B$-module $W$.
(a) If $U, W$ are of type $M$, then $V$ is a simple $C$-module of type $M$.
(b) If one of $U$ and $W$ is of type $M$ and the other is of type $Q$, then $V$ is a simple $C$-module of type $Q$.
(c) If $U$ and $W$ are of type $Q$, then $V$ is a sum of two copies of a simple $C$-module $X$ of type $M: V=X \oplus X$.
Moreover, the above construction gives all simple $A \dot{\otimes} B$-modules.
Using the above $U, W, V$ and $X$, define an $A \dot{\otimes} B$-module $U \dot{\circ} W$ by

$$
U \circ W= \begin{cases}V & \text { if } U \text { or } W \text { is of type } M  \tag{B.1}\\ X & \text { if } U \text { and } W \text { are of type } Q\end{cases}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Irr} A$ denote the set of all isomorphism classes of simple $A$-modules for any superalgebra $A$.

Corollary B.2. We have a bijection

$$
\dot{\circ}: \operatorname{Irr} A \times \operatorname{Irr} B \ni(U, W) \stackrel{\sim}{\mapsto} U \circ W \in \operatorname{Irr} A \dot{\otimes} B
$$

C. Sergeev's duality. We review Sergeev's duality relation between $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ on the space $W$ in Theorem 4.1. Define a map $d: D P_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by $d(\lambda)=0$ (resp. $d(\lambda)=1$ ) if $l(\lambda)$ is even (resp. $l(\lambda)$ is odd).
Theorem C. [8] (1) The two superalgebras $\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ act on $W$ as mutual supercentralizers of each other:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}_{\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n}\right)}(W)=\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}\right), \quad \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{B}_{k}\right)}(W)=\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n}\right) \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}$-module $W_{\lambda}\left(\lambda \in D P_{k}\right)$ occurs in $W$ if and only if $\left.l(\lambda)\right) \leq n$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \cong_{\mathcal{B}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n}} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in D P_{k}, l(\lambda) \leq n} W_{\lambda} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda} \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{\lambda}$ denotes a simple $\mathcal{U}_{n}$-module corresponding to $W_{\lambda}$ in $W$ in the sense of $D S C T$.
(3) The character values of $\mathrm{Ch}\left[U_{\lambda}\right]$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ch}\left[U_{\lambda}\right]\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=2^{\frac{d(\lambda)-l(\lambda)}{2}} Q_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

D. A duality of $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ and $\mathfrak{q}(n)$. We established a duality relation between $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ on the space $W^{\varepsilon}$ in Theorem 4.2.

Theorem D. [11, Th. 4.1] The $\mathcal{A}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n}$-submodule $W^{\varepsilon}$ of $W$ is decomposed as a multiplicity-free sum of simple $\mathcal{A}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n}$-modules as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\varepsilon} \cong \mathcal{A}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in D P_{k}} V_{\lambda} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda} \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Assume that $k$ is even. Then the simple $\mathcal{A}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n}$-modules $V_{\lambda} \dot{\circ} U_{\lambda}$ in $W^{\varepsilon}$ are of type $M$. Furthermore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}_{\ominus\left(\mathcal{U}_{n}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)=\Psi\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}\right), \quad \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right)=\Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n}\right) \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Assume that $k$ is odd. Then the simple $\mathcal{A}_{k} \dot{\otimes} \mathcal{U}_{n}$-modules $V_{\lambda} \circ U_{\lambda}$ in $W^{\varepsilon}$ are of type $Q$. Furthermore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{End}_{\Theta\left(U_{n}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right) \cong \mathcal{C}_{1} \otimes \Psi\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}\right), \quad \operatorname{End}_{\Psi\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}\right)}\left(W^{\varepsilon}\right) \cong \mathcal{C}_{1} \otimes \Theta\left(\mathcal{U}_{n}\right) . \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbb{E}$. Stembridge's character formula for $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$. We review Stembridge's formula for the character values of simple $\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\prime}$-modules, in a form adapted to the simple modules in the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded sense.
Theorem E. (cf. [10, Lem. 7.5]) We have

$$
2^{\frac{3(l(\kappa)+l(\nu))}{2}} p_{\kappa}(x) p_{\nu}(y)=\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in\left(D P^{2}\right)_{k}} \operatorname{Ch}\left[V_{\lambda, \mu}\right]\left(w^{\kappa, \nu}\right) 2^{\frac{-l(\lambda)-l(\mu)-\varepsilon(\lambda, \mu)}{2}} Q_{\lambda}(x, y) Q_{\mu}(x,-y)
$$

for all $(\kappa, \nu) \in\left(O P^{2}\right)_{k}$, where $Q_{\lambda}(x, y)=Q_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $Q_{\mu}(x,-y)$ $=Q_{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots,-y_{1},-y_{2}, \ldots\right)$.
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